

NOCICEPTIVE SYSTEM AND PAIN RSEPONSE

Dr. Syed Mohamed Ibrahim Sulthan

(Ph.D., from Delhi University & Working at Shaqra University) Bio-Medical Engineering Laboratory, College of Engineering, Shaqra University, Ad-Dawadimi, Riyad Province, Saudi Arabia <u>syed.mohamed@su.edu.sa</u>

Abstract

The objectives of this paper is to offer a justification of why we would really like to apprehend the role of the neural structure in pain perception; to present an anatomical description of the matrix of systems that make contributions to pain perception paying interest at the contribution of realistic imaging studies in humans; to define the partition of operation inside this matrix and however it is attending to be altered in pathological ache states; to ponder on however this info may be accustomed broaden new treatment options. The performance of undertaking separate trials in acute and chronic pain could be addressed on constant foundation. The mind does no longer share the construct for pain perception and treatment. Once physiological type of pain is offered, it's workable to be less difficult, with less prominence on localization and duration, and with better significance at the mental context.

Key words — Pain perception, Neural Structure, Cortical Neuron, Acute Pain, Nociceptive system

I. Medial and Lateral Nociceptive Systems

Until recently, it's been unclear to what extent animal tissue areas assist the understanding of pain. This uncertainty has been partially because of the scanty straight extinction of anterolateral spinothalamic tract fibers to the neural structure found in human post-mortem studies [11] and also the even more meager nociceptive projections to the principal sensory system (S1) cortex [3]. Uncertainty regarding the role of the cortex within the pain understanding additionally refers back to early methodical cerebral inspiration revisions in sixteen patients by Head and Holmes [42] that



accounted the difficulties in eliciting pain once stimulating S1. This finding had more support from careful analysis of patients amid cortical and subcortical lacerations by similar authors. Against this, more recently, alternative authors have documented reduced pain sensation following animal tissue lesions [74] [89], however these reports are comparatively meager, and physiological state is usually not a significant feature (for a review see [64].

Many years before, single-unit recordings within the monkey recognized that nociceptive passageways within the somatic sensory system project to areas 3b and 1 of the most important sensory system cortex [64], furthermore on the secondary sensory system cortex (S2) and therefore the adjoining posterior membrane bone cortex [31]. However, nociceptive units are comparatively meager, significantly in S1, which can enlighten a number of the variability of practical imaging studies within this subject area. This finding might also enlighten why it's taken ciao for the role of the cerebral mantle in pain understanding to be accepted.

It has been extensively accepted that pain could be an n-dimensional incident that has sensorydiscriminative, sentimental, psychosomatic aspect, and crucial rudiments [76]. It's been recommended that these completely different elements are possible to be processed inside a "neuromatrix," instead of in one center [75]. Practical imaging experiments have recognized such a matrix. variety of animal tissue structures like S1 and S2, the anterior insula, and cingulate and dorsolateral anterior cortices are reproducibly concerned in nociceptive phenomena [21] [86], structure structures as well as the corpus amygdaloideum as are neural [24] and also the thalamus and hypo neural structure [45] [47]. The anatomical connections, with their nociceptive contributions to those areas, are expansively examined somewhere else, as has the collective proof for his or her involvement in human pain perception [3] [21] [52] [53] [54] [61] [62] [82] [86] [95] [107] [112]. However, the idea of a matrix with multiprocessing inside its elements is conceivably original to considerate a variety of the human interpretations which are conversed up to now. If pain results from desegregation process among such a matrix, then it shouldn't be shocking that ablation of one element of that matrix might not have instantaneously obvious effects, if different elements of the matrix are ready to compensate in approach. A clue to the present prospects comes from the principally bilateral nociceptive inputs [121, 122] to most animal tissue parts of the matrix on each anatomical and practical ground [98, 117].



This multiprocessing perhaps provides for appreciable redundancy among this technique, that is therefore essential for species survival. As an example, up to now there's no confirmation that magnetic stimulation of S1 noticeably diminishes pain intensity or capability to localize pain [62]. A division of operation between the lateral and medial parts of the human pain system was originally anticipated many decades before [11] and was iterated further formally by Albe-Fessard and colleagues [1] locate on rather minute records of human post-mortem and neurosurgical clarification. The lateral pain system contains the lateral thalamic nuclei and therefore the sensory system cortices. It quick and somatotopic and will help the sensory-discriminative aspects of pain, that consist of localization, intensity, and period. The insular cortexadditionally has some somatotopic nociceptive inputs and will be concerned in desegregation them with inputs from different sensory modalities [80].

The medial pain theme is sluggish (polysynaptic) and non-somatotopic, and is assumed to procedure the affectional parts of pain [107]. It includes the medial thalamic nuclei, anterior cingulate and dorsolateral anterior cortices, and probably structures involved with the process of panic, like the corpus amygdaloideum.

Further proof for this practical division within the human brain was supported clinical observations of the results of selective anterior cingulate lesions in improving the affectional parts of pain [32] and effects of lesions within the region of S1 on the sensory-discriminative parts of pain [89] [90]. Deafferentation of the anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) in patients with chronic unmanageable pain produces a state wherever patients still practicing pain however it now not bothers them [32], an observation that raises some fascinating moral and physiological problems. These effects are quite almost like the clinical observations of the results of artificial opiates, that are hardly ever pain-ablative however considerably diminish the unpleasantness of acute and chronic pain.

Various studies have challenged to deal with this issue by deliberational manipulation, hypnosis, and utilize of the unpredictable stimulus-response functions [4] [14] [85] [96] [106]. These analyis have utilized strength of pain to admittance the sensory-discriminate element of pain and unpleasantness to access the emotional parts of pain. They need principally known the mid-cingulate cortex as subserving the emotional process of painful stimuli. However, it's been established that intensity is perhaps encoded throughout the pain matrix [18] [24], though in



some studies distinct intensity-coding areas are known inside, for instance, the mid-cingulate cortex [12] and S1 [43].

Substantial psychophysical information proposes a direct association between unpleasantness and intensity of pain [96]. It's complicated to dissociate unpleasantness and intensity not including resorting to techniques like psychological state. Intensity might not thus be the most effective part to disclose a division of operation between the sensory-discriminative and emotional parts of pain. Psychological state itself introduces problems associated with observance of conflict between the instruction beneath psychological state and what the topic could also be extremely feeling, that is an activity conjointly localized among the mid-cingulate cortex. An unconventional approach is to use the localization of pain stimulation as a computation of discriminatory operation rather than pain intensity. This approach has been achieved employing a carbon dioxide optical maser to stimulate four quadrants on the reverse of the arm at painful and nonpainful levels with a straightforward distinction in instruction to attend either to the unpleasantness of the stimulant or to its localization. This system has terribly clearly acknowledged elements of the medial pain system like the perigenual cingulate cortex, insula, and basal ganglion, additionally to the neural structure, that act in response to the emotional elements of pain higher than its localization; progressing to the localization of the painful stimulant created larger activations of S1 and inferior membrane bone cortex [66].

Collectively, this information recommend that the most division of operation between the medial and lateral pain systems is probably going to be that of emotional and sensory-discriminatory process, severally, with intensity in all probability being processed throughout the matrix.

II. Nociception and Cingulate Cortex

The roles of the cingulate cortex in process a spread of psychological feature, motor, and nociceptive data has been well explained [13] [29] [81] [112] [123]. Anatomical projections to the ACC from the midplane neural structure and intralaminar nuclei [111] and ventrobasal composite are confirmed. The associations of that nucleus with the spinothalamic tract sustain the function of the ACC in nociceptive process [1] [19]. Single-unit recordings in rabbits [101] and humans [48] have acknowledged nociceptive neurons in surface twenty four within the posterior part of the 116



ACC.

The observation that the ACC is that the most generally activated structure in practical imaging studies of pain [21] suggests that it's a fundamental role in nociceptive process. There's substantial inter-individual and interstudy variability of the position of anterior cingulate responses to nociceptive stimuli [22] [115]. These locations were shown to enlarge from the additional rostral perigenual singalide to the coyotillo mid-cingulate cortex in studies utilizing functional magnetic resonance imaging, PET, and electroencephalogram [7]. Several of the foremost duplicatable results have return from electroencephalogram studies [7]. The abstraction distribution of pain responses inside the cingulate cortex has raised the difficulty of each pain-specific and additional generalized divisions of operation inside this region. The proof for the involvement of the ACC in handing out the affectional elements of pain has been conversed in previous sections.

Previous studies have established responses to pain within the ACC in 2 main clusters within the mid- and perigenual cingulate cortex [115]. The inimitable anatomy of the ACC, with a lot of the circuital convergence on the massive neuromotor fibers of lamina V, would possibly recommend that its general calculatable operation is associated to matching acceptable motor outputs to cortico-afferent inputs [29].

The perigenual cingulate cortex has been stimulated in studies wherever the pain inflicted was sturdy enough to be obnoxious, like in studies utilizing tonic cold pain [67] or capsaicin-treated skin [71]. Such findings could elucidate why the perigenual cingulate isn't generally seen in most of the studies utilizing experimental pain, except once the pain stimulant is incredibly unpleasant allodynia, in clinical pain, or once the subjects' concentration is intended for the repulsiveness of the ache [65] [66].

There is increasing proof that the mid-cingulate cortex is especially involved with decision-making functions like response assortment [120], monitoring, and error detection [29]. The mid-cingulate is additionally a crucial element of the anterior basic cognitive process system[13] [93] though nociceptive responses emerge to occur in distinct areas distinct from those alarmed with these quite general basic cognitive process functions [20] [25].

The perigenual cingulate, however, emerges to be highly alarmed with affectional responses [36], as well as vocalization, involuntary power, and fright [13] [29]. The activity inside the



perigenual cingulate cortex throughout attention to unpleasantness is reliable with its comparatively high concentration of opioid receptors [57] [113] as compared to the additional decision-making areas of the cingulate cortex, and in addition by means of the perigenual alterations in function by endogenous opioid peptides throughout chronic pain [54] [58] [59] [104].

There are comparatively high concentrations of opioid receptors within the higher association cortices and parts of the limbic brain, together with the corpus amygdaloideum, and low concentrations within theS1, motor, and visual cortices. Therefore, these regions might have some operation associated with the modulation of selective attention and also to their further direct role in modulation of nociception [69]. Changes in occupation of opioid receptors reliable with exaggerated occupation by endogenous opioid peptides all through pain are established inside the animal tissue parts of the medial pain system in acute experimental pain [119], chronic neuropathic pain [59], and chronic rheumatic pain [58].

These findings could also be relevant to recent observations of shared responses in ACC to placebo and opioid amylase [82] [83]. Recent observations recommend that placebo amylose is at slightest partly intervened by endogenous opioid peptides [5]. Clinical observations recommend that artificial opioids don't ablate pain however to a large extent diminish its unpleasantness. This is often strikingly analogous to the results of deafferentation of the ACC [32]. Opioid-mediated amylase leads to important changes in activity within the perigenual cingulate cortex and also to alternative parts of the medial pain system [16] [56]. The cerebral mechanisms of opioid actions are still tentative, however anatomical studies recommend µ-opioid modulation of thalamocortical loops foretelling through the ACC [114]. The perigenual cingulate cortex thus provides candidate mechanisms for a few of the analgesic effects of artificial and endogenous opioids on the emotional parts of pain understanding. It is projected that the perigenual cingulate and associated structures are possibly to apprehensive with process the emotional parts of pain, whereas the mid-anterior cingulate is most probably to be alarmed with decision-making process (response choice and monitoring) and be in command of attention. The likelihood of reducing unpleasantness although maintaining pain localization has some therapeutic attractiveness. The clear identification of the areas of the pain matrix process these parts raises some hope of developing on the presently restricted repertoire of pain therapies.



III. Neural Activity and Pain Response

A. Psychogenic and nociceptive pain

The A number of the latest studies on modulation of nociceptive process could aid the interpretation of earlier clinical studies that described substantial variations in reactions to thermal pain stimuli in patients with diverse kinds of clinical pain. In general, similar areas of the pain matrix are activated all through thermal and pressure-stimulated pain [39] in patients with persistent pain disorders as in regular volunteers. The variations are within the delicate patterns of reaction within the matrix instead of within the existence or nonexistence of reaction in some or other part of the matrix. Responses to standardized severe thermal pain stimuli were abridged in patients with severe (postdental withdrawal) inflammatory pain and in those with enduring chronic rheumatoid pain compared to controls [26] [55]. Patients with persistent psychogenically preserved pain (atypical facial pain) established better responses to acute thermal stimulation contrasted to controls within the ACC, with reduced responses within the right dorsolateral anterior cortex (DLPF). The better responses within the ACC were thought to characterize abnormal concentration to the emotional process of nociceptive inputs which could contribute to the perseveration of chronic pain in these folks, conceivably consequential from a failure of supervising of attention by the anterior cortex [23]. The observation that concentration will extremely have an effect on the pattern of nociceptive responses among the pain matrix provides some credence to the present perception [60]. Recent studies have shown exaggerated association of catastrophization with anterior cingulate commotion in patients with fibromyalgia or chronic widespread pain [40] that is additionally reliable with earlier reports [37]. The reduced activity within the DLPF within the atypical facial pain cluster is fascinating within the context of a recent PET study of experimental allodynia which point out that activity during this subject of the correct DLPF is also negatively associated with correlation between midbrain and neural structure. The proposition budding from this study was that DLPF could also be dynamic higher "influence on pain surveillance by adjusting cortico-subcortical and also by modifying cortico-cortical pathways" [72]. However, studies in patients with little back pain and dejection failed to express important variations in nociceptive process between this cluster and painless controls [27].



B. Neuropathic pain

Pharmacologic mechanisms are established within the dorsal horn in connection with neuropathic and inflammatory pain representations [116] which will contribute to some varieties of allodynia (pain evoked by sensory modalities like contact that will not ordinarily induce pain). However, in provisions of what indications the brain perceives, these spinal systems convert what would unremarkably be signaled in non-nociceptive ascending pathways to signals in the ascending sensitive channels (mounting spinothalamic tracts). To this point there's little or no confirmation in humans that such pains are processed in totally different brain structures once acutely evoked by experimentation (capsaicin-induced allodynia) [50] or once studied in patients with chronic neuropathic pain [44] [84].

However, there's a trend toward abridged activity among the neural structure throughout enduring neuropathic pain [30] [44] [49]. Formal comparisons with alternative varieties of pain haven't been prepared, however it's attainable that this trend might represent reduced activity of restrictive interneurons inside the neural structure. For most varieties of pain, there don't emerge to be specific brain areas dedicated to specific kinds of pain. However, remarkable preliminary studies in headache might offer an attainable exemption to the present generalization, which is than cortical activations there may be some important subcortical activations. Throughout headache positron emission tomographic studies have measured enhanced activity within the midbrain and neural structure throughout common migraine and within the neural structure throughout histamine headache. This interpretation, taken in conjunction with what's identified regarding the systems for those fabrications of headaches, "may suggest substantiation for a neurovascular etiology instead of a principal vascular design" [38]. Even though, it would be incorrigible that all those areas are activated in different forms of pain, in order that if there's a selected pattern to those responses, it's possible to be associated with the predominance of a subcortical pattern of activity instead of to the precise structures concerned.

It is too early to mention whether or not it's probable to differentiate between different kinds of pain (nociceptive, neuropathic, mental, or maybe fanciful pain) utilizing practical imaging. However, totally different patterns of response among the pain matrix are measurable in several pain syndromes and in numerous psychological contexts. Additional refined means for computing



completely different aspects of concurrent process among the pain matrix are promising [12]. To classify clinical pain syndromes a variety of methods may be used and match them to suitable treatments, and examine reaction to such treatments in the future. Such strategies are also significantly helpful because the prices of novel treatments increase.

IV. Restrictions of Imaging Cortical Role

to nociceptive process primarily in the is achieved EEG Access brain using (electroencephalography), MEG (magnetoencephalography), PET (positron emission tomography) and fMRI (magnetic resonance imaging) through electrophysiological pain-induced signals. However, recent studies have extended a number of these techniques to the medulla spinalis [35]. and Solely PET 18F-deoxyglucose electrophysiological techniques give direct measure of neuronic activity; these strategies presently offer the foremost strong determination of drug modulation of nociceptive activity. Electrophysiological techniques, with their msec temporal resolution, offer the means that to check early basic cognitive process and antecedent mechanisms of sensitive process. Improved techniques for resource localization of pain induced potentials had endowed with greatly improved spacial resolution, with mm reliableness [6]. Improved techniques for million analyses like artificial aperture magnetometry offer a sophisticated approach to complicated information sets [103]. PET has provided the means that gauge each metabolic and neurochemical aspects of sensitive process. This method has permitted the detection of receptor schemes and transforms in their occupation throughout acute and chronic pain [58] [119] additionally to imaging aspects of cholinergic [35] and dopaminergic transmission [51]. The good advantage of functional magnetic resonance imaging over PET is that it's attainable to create continual measures of sensitive responses without the constraints obligatory by the utilization of radiation, permitting rather more complicated experimental purpose.

The disadvantage of these techniques is that in the concluding examination we are left with important activation sites in brain volumes devoid of directional info and lacking info concerning the ascending or degressive nociceptive inputs from that they result. They will thus solely be comprehended in respect of detailed anatomical and pharmacologic studies resulting from mammal examination [101] [108] [109] [110] and from individual post-mortem revision [11]. Such



interpretations, along with info from induced potentials [17] and million [41] will begin to produce an operating model of the circuitry involved with nociceptive process [122].

This approach has provided some important insights into human pain perception that will not have been attainable from extrapolation from studies in animals in isolation. The combined use of various techniques like narcotic receptor imaging with practical studies has already provided some necessary insights into the combination of various neurochemically outlined systems inside the brain [70]. Electrophysiological methods have provided some data on the temporal sequence of nociceptive process among the pain matrix, and improved techniques can offer the larger detail needed for a dynamic model of nociceptive process [105].

The most vital feature of practical brain imaging techniques is that they're ready to compute several aspects of nociceptive process like anticipation of pain [123] and organic compound changes related to pain, that can't be measured by the other method.

V. Cortical Mechanisms of Pain Perception

The understanding of pain will solely be outlined in terms of human consciousness. However, anatomical studies in animals along with practical imaging studies in humans have created it attainable to recognize the major cerebral parts of the human sensitive system. These parts comprise a minimum of 2 main human nociceptive systems operating in parallel [121], referred to as the medial and lateral pain systems. This anatomical proof has provided a physical construct for the thought of the human pain matrix [75]. Additionally, different sensitive pathways are recognized in rodents that haven't nevertheless been represented in primates. Bester et al. [9] have recognized protrusion from the parabrachial core to the ventromedial nucleus of the neural structure that ventures densely to the dorsal periaqueductal grey (PAG). This parabrachial-hypothalamus-PAG loop is assumed to possess a significant role in dislike behaviors and therefore might play a crucial role in inspirational behavior like defense and aggression in response to harmful stimulation. We are simply getting down recognizing the division of task inside these systems, providing the likelihood of creating a new rational framework for pain treatment.

There is a large spectrum of pain perception, starting from pain which will closely replicate physical events in tissue (e.g., events proceeding to excitation of nociceptors and thence sensitive



pain) to pain that's generated with none peripheral physical input (e.g., mental and neuropathic pain). Of these pains are equally valid and might solely be recorded in terms of the individual's subjective familiarity. Variety of electroencephalographic (EEG) and efficient imaging studies have established that ever-changing the psychological context of stimulation, in terms of basic cognitive process instruction or anticipation, will totally alter neuronic activity among the pain matrix. The brain is thus acting as a computer game system which will or might not be affected by interactions with the body's internal and external surroundings. So as to comprehend these interactions, we want non-invasive strategies for computing cerebral responses in human subjects. Efficient imaging and electrophysiological techniques offer the ways to comprehend a number of the molecular and electrophysiological events underpinning these interactions, that are recently reviewed [3] [19] [21] [52] [53] [59] [61] [62] [82] [86] [95] [107]. However, the interpretations of those studies are captivated with elaborated information of anatomy and pharmacological medicine gained from animal studies.

This paper summarizes how animal studies and practical imaging studies in humans have modified our understanding of traditional and abnormal pain mechanisms and the way they inform changes in clinical follow up. Some speculation can follow on however this information would possibly eventually be accustomed improve human pain treatment.

No new major categories of analgesic were developed within the last century except the extended role of tricyclic antidepressant drug as adjunct analgesics and 5HT-1A agonists like sumatriptan for hemicrania. There are several reasons for this dissatisfactory progress; however one might have been the problem in translating animal replica of pain to satisfactory evidence of perception examination in humans.

Another drawback relates to supposition that modulation of sensitive process at any level might alter pain expertise. This drawback significantly applies to pharmacologic agents, which can have terribly totally different effects at different sites among the system. A classic example is chemical irritant that is strappingly algesic at the outer boundary and dorsal horn of the medulla spinalis, however analgesic once injected intra-cerebro-ventricularly. Practical imaging provides the means that to compute integrated sensitive process within the brain, to uncover pathophysiological mechanisms of several of the prime clinical pain states, and to outline potential



new therapeutic targets. A number of those procedures have previously presented well-defined targets for brand new categories of analgesics. As an example, confirmation that the endogenous opioid scheme is stimulated in 2 conflicting kinds of chronic pain (see below) has provided a possible target for enhancing these responses. The most excellent variations among animal examination and human studies are possible to be at the animal tissue level, partially as a result of that's utmost level of specialization and partially as a result of the cortex is most prone to the consequences of anesthetics. Combining information from human and animal experimentation thus appears essential for the economical development of recent pain therapies. Though there's no established role for practical imaging in pain management, it's going to have larger pertinence in patient appraisal, analgesic development, and reaction observance.

VI. Pattern of Nociceptive Process

A. Attention

Additional proof for the importance of top-down effects [30] comes from variety of studies showing the consequences of altered attention on nociceptive process [4] [8] [68] [82] [85]. Altered cingulate responses throughout totally different basic cognitive process instruction are understood within the context of variations in handling approaches [46]. These observations are according to a large-scale neurocognitive network model [78] [79] that recommends the cingulate cortex because the main contributor to a psychological feature map that interacts with a perception map provided by the posterior membrane bone cortex. To an extent, this proof reinforces the potential for psychological approaches to pain treatment.

B. Laterality

The result of the aspect of stimulation has been extensively accounted on the idea of numerical thresholds yielding important responses among the matrix, however up to now solely 2studies have dealt with this issue quantitatively utilizing nontactile painful stimuli [10] [117]. Each study induced bilateral responses among the pain matrix, with c onsiderably larger contralateral responses in S1 and therefore the neural structure.



C. Sex

So far there's proof for delicate variations in animal tissue process of pain between age-matched men and ladies, with the foremost convincing variations being a shift of process in ladies among the cingulate cortex toward the perigenual cingulate cortex [28]. Fascinatingly, this is often one among the areas of the cortex with the utmost levels of μ , δ and κ opioid receptor binding [113]. Higher μ -opioid receptor binding in girls has been found within the temporal cortex, amygdala, and neural structure, however not up to now among the cingulate cortex [118].

D. Learning

Aversive conditioning in animals has been outlined among circuit comprises of the anterior cingulate cortesx, hippocampus, medial thalamic nuclei, striatum, posterior cingulate cortex, amygdaloid nucleus, and anterior thalamic nuclei [33] [34] [73] [112]. The motor productivity for these responses is by means of premotor parts of the cingulate cortex and also the corpus striatum. The amygdaloid nucleus, thalamus, and cingulate cortices are alarmed within the achievement of familiarized reactions, shaping a model that's compared with new sensory inputs. If there's a counterpart, the acceptable motor reaction is commenced by means of the cingulate and motor cortices and also the prepared corpus striatum. If there's a disparity, the hippocampus is activated to obstruct any motor response.

Damage to the amygdaloid nucleus has been related to impairment of acquisition of conditioned involuntary responses and with impairment of affectional recollections not including important impairment of nonaffective psychological feature functions [15].

Functional magnetic resonance imaging processes have permitted prelude admittance to a number of the processes that will be happening in human's throught disinclined habituation. Temporal distinction models that enable the modeling of prediction inaccuracy throughout the acquirement of disinclined habituation have recognized the, anterior insular, ventral basal ganglion and anterior cingulate cortices as being essential in these processes [88] [99].

E. Empathy



Recent studies have deal with the problem of pain understanding in terms of compassion for a partner experiencing pain. A graceful experiment compared brain activations once a volunteer or her partner, who was placed next to a magnetic resonance imaging scanner, fully fledged pain. All the way through particiation of brainstem, mid-cingulate, neural structure and anterior insular cortices in pain empathizing the results proved [102]. The animal tissue parts of those responses are among the medial pain system, providing an additional example of segmentation of operation among the pain matrix.

F. Anticipation

In terms of responses to experimental pain it's currently terribly clear that the psychological context of the stimulant in terms of anticipation and a focus is also as necessary because the stimulant parameters. It had been already thought that anticipation would possibly solely activate some parts of the medial system like the medial anterior cortex and ACC, which these areas were adjacent and separate from those activated by pain [87]. Consequent studies, however, recommended that these responses might be blocked by benzodiazepines and so could also be more associated with anxiety than anticipation of pain intrinsically. In addition recently it's been shown that the majority of the nociceptive system is often activated by anticipation of a painful stimulant [92, 126] the antecedent responses are imply smaller than the pain intensity-related responses.

G. Chronicity

It has been whispered for several years that the medial and lateral systems may severally be alarmed with process chronic and acute pain [1]. Practical imaging studies have provided unequivocal proof that this can be not the case. Each systems are concerned in acute nociceptive process and a minimum of one variety of unceasing pain (neuropathic ache), and those are practiced in parallel [53].

It has been conventional to think about acute and chronic pain as being terribly distinct processes, probably with bound forms of chronic pain being processed inside distinct brain regions. At a clinical level, many varieties of chronic pain like rheumatic pain are a combination of repeated



acute pain and chronic in progress pain. It's tough to envision an empirical advantage of process every variety of pain [123] in a very separate and distinct nociceptive system. So far, there's little proof from imaging studies for a division of operation among the pain matrix on the premise of the temporal parts of pain [53] [91]. However, there are arguments claims for definite brain areas like the perigenual cingulate cortex being alarmed with certain kinds of pain like allodynic pain [71]. However, proof is currently accumulating for this part of the cingulate cortex being concerned within the affectional parts of experimental pain [65] and psychologically evoked pain [94] additionally to chronic clinical pain.

However, there are also some additional delicate variations between acute and chronic pain process. Parts of the lateral system like the S1 cortex do seem to be as often activated with tonic nonphasic experimental pain and phasic pain (67% and sixty nine of studies show activations, respectively). However solely twenty third of studies of chronic in progress clinical pain established activation of S1 [21]. There are a number of potential reasons for this. Nociceptive projections to S1 are distributed [100]. Also, this can be the sole part wherever practical imaging experiments have established any convincing somatotopy for pain [2]. Therefore, spacial summation of responses to chronic pain in several locations might dilute the signal modification in S1.

Some hold up for this scheme appears from the examination that the incidence of important S1 activations throughout acute experimental physical pain could seem to be associated with the extent of the surface of skin enthused [86]. Responses among the medial scheme seems to be generally bilateral and non-somatotopic [21] [53] [112]. Acute pain experiments tend to stimulate a similar or adjacent location, whereas chronic pain experiments usually incorporate patients with variable pain locations and thus could spatially dilute an already weak signal in S1. However, nociceptive responses in S1 and different parts of the lateral system could also be quicker and additional transient, and thus highly complicated to discover utilizing PET and functional magnetic resonance imaging.

Further reasons to differentiate among sensitive and persistent pain are the clear time reliant organic compound changes that occur, as an example within the medulla spinalis, in numeroussub delicate pain models [116]. Such findings have guide to the common belief that there should be



imperative pharmaco-therapeutic variations between acute and chronic pain. However, to this point there's no proof for any category of analgesic solely being effective within the acute or chronic stage of any kind of pain? Such variations might exist, however they need however to be clearly confirmed in humans.

VII. Classification of Pain Response

The IASP has provided a classification of pain that features thirty three main cluster of pain, everyone with subclassifications [77]. Abundant of the classification relates to the place and system within which the pain emerges and its sequential distinctiveness. This research analysis has offered a useful basis for epidemiologic studies and health care designing. However, in terms of nociceptive process, the temporal and spacial facial appearance of pain could also be of less importance, notably with reference to localization, as a product of all nociceptive process beside with the medial method is non-somatotopic. No acknowledgeable system dedicated to process pain of a selected kind, location, or period, and however these assumptions offer a standard skeleton for the study of pain examinations, pain experiment, and therefore the education of students.

It may be highly useful to contemplate why specific kinds of pain like neuropathic and psychogenically sustained pains (somatoform pain malady) are further doubtless to become chronic, than making an attempt to form distinctions between acute and chronic pain that to date don't have any biological basis in humans. The present performance of conducting separate trials in acute and chronic pain may be questioned on an equivalent basis.

VIII. Conclusion

The brain doesn't share the assemble for pain perception and management that the health volunteers would love to impose upon it. It's premature to separate pain on a physiological basis. Once such a classification will become attainable, it's possible to be easier, with less prominence on localization and period, and with better importance on the psychological context.

REFERENCES



[1] Albe-Fessard D, Berkley KJ, Kruger L, Ralston HJ, Willis WD. Diencephalic mechanisms of pain sensation. *Brain Res Rev* 1985; 356:217–296.

[2] Andersson JL, Lilja A, Hartvig P, et al. Somatotopic organization along the central sulcus, for pain localization in humans, as revealed by positron emission tomography. *Exp Brain Res* 1997; 117:192–199.

[3] Apkarian AV. Functional imaging of pain: new insights regarding the role of the cerebral cortex in human pain perception. *Semin Neurosci* 1995; 7:279–293.

[4] Bantick SJ, Wise RG, Ploghaus A, et al. Imaging how attention modulates pain in humans using functional MRI. *Brain* 2002; 125:310–319.

[5] Benedetti F, Arduino C, Amanzio M. Somatotopic activation of opioid systems by targetdirected expectations of analgesia. *J Neurosci* 1999; 19:3639–3648.

[6] Bentley DE, Youell PD, Jones AKP. Anatomical localization and intra-subject reproducibility of laser evoked potential source in cingulate cortex, using a realistic head model. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2002a; 113:1351–1356.

[7] Bentley DE, Youell PD, Jones AKP. Intra- and inter-subject variability of laser evoked potential source in cingulate cortex, using realistic head models. *Abstracts: 10th World Congress in Pain.* Seattle: IASP Press 2002b, pp 372–373.

[8] Bentley DE, Watson A, Treede RD, et al. Differential effects on the laser evoked potential of selectively attending to pain localisation versus pain unpleasantness. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2004; 115:1846–1856.

[9] Bester H, Bourgeais L, Villanueva L, Besson JM, Bernard JF. Differential projections to the intralaminar and gustatory thalamus from the parabrachial area: a PHA-L study in the rat. *J Comp Neurol* 1999; 405:421–449.

[10] Bingel U, Quante M, Knab R, et al. Single trial fMRI reveals significant contralateral bias in responses to laser pain within thalamus and somatosensory cortices. *Neuroimage* 2003; 18:740–748.

[11] Bowsher D. Termination of the central pain pathway in man: the conscious appreciation of pain. *Brain* 1957; 80:607–622.



[12] Buchel C, Bornhovd K, Quante M, et al. Dissociable neural responses related to pain intensity, stimulus intensity, and stimulus awareness within the anterior cingulate cortex: a parametric single-trial laser functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *J Neurosci* 2002; 22:970–976.

[13] Bush G, Luu P, Posner MI. Cognitive and emotional influences in anterior cingulate cortex. *Trends Cogn Sci* 2000; 4:215–222.

[14] Bushnell MC, Duncan GH, Hofbauer RK. Human functional brain imaging. *Pain Forum* 1999; 8:133–135.

[15] Cahill L, Babinsky R, Markowitsch HJ, McGaugh JL. The amygdala and emotional memory. *Nature* 1995; 377:295–296.

[16] Casey KL, Svensson P, Morrow TJ, et al. Selective opiate modulation of nociceptive processing in the human brain. *J Neurophysiol* 2000; 84:525–533.

[17] Chen ACN, Arendt-Nielsen L, Plaghki L, et al. Laser-evoked potentials in human pain: I. Use and possible misuse. *Pain Forum* 1998; 7:174–184.

[18] Coghill RC, Sang CN, Maisog JM, Iadarola MJ. Pain intensity processing within the human brain: a bilateral, distributed mechanism. *J Neurophysiol* 1999; 82:1934–1943.

[19] Craig AD. Spinal and supraspinal processing of specific pain and temperature. In: Boivie J, Hansson P, Lindblom U (Eds). *Touch, Temperature, and Pain in Health and Disease: Mechanisms and Assessments*, Progress in Pain Research and Management, Vol. 3. Seattle: IASP Press, 1994, pp 421–437.

[20] Davis KD, Taylor SJ, Crawley AP, Wood ML, Mikulis DJ. Functional MRI of pain- and attention-related activations in the human cingulate cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 1997; 77:3370–3380.

[21] Derbyshire SWG. Meta-analysis of thirty-four independent samples studied using PET reveals a significantly attenuated central response to noxious stimulation in clinical pain patients. *Curr Rev Pain* 1999; 3:265–280.

[22] Derbyshire SWG. Exploring the pain "neuromatrix." *Curr Rev Pain* 2000; 4:467–477.

[23] Derbyshire SWG, Jones AKP, Devani P, et al. Cerebral responses to pain in patients with atypical facial pain measured by positron emission tomography. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1994; 57:1166–1172.



[24] Derbyshire SWG, Jones AKP, Gyulai F, et al. Pain processing during three levels of noxious stimulation produces differential patterns of central activity. *Pain* 1997; 73:431–445.

[25] Derbyshire SWG, Vogt BA, Jones AKP. Pain and Stroop interference tasks activate separate processing modules in anterior cingulate cortex. *Exp Brain Res* 1998; 118:52–60.

[26] Derbyshire SWG, Jones AKP, Collins M, Feinmann C, Harris M. Cerebral responses to pain in patients suffering acute post-dental extraction pain measured by positron emission tomography (PET). *Eur J Pain* 1999; 3:103–113.

[27] Derbyshire SW, Jones AK, Creed F, et al. Cerebral responses to noxious thermal stimulation in chronic low back pain patients and normal controls. *Neuroimage* 2002a; 16:158–168.

[28] Derbyshire SW, Nichols TE, Firestone L, Townsend DW, Jones AK. Gender differences in patterns of cerebral activation during equal experience of painful laser stimulation. *J Pain* 2002b; 3:401–411.

[29] Devinsky O, Morrell MJ, Vogt BA. Contributions of anterior cingulate cortex to behaviour. *Brain* 1995; 118:279–306.

[30] Di P, Jones AKP, Iannotti F, et al. Chronic pain: a PET study of the central effects of percutaneous high cervical cordotomy. *Pain* 1991; 46:9–12.

[31] Dong WK, Salonen LD, Kawakami Y, et al. Nociceptive responses of trigeminal neurons in SII-7b cortex of awake monkeys. *Brain Res* 1989; 48:4314–324.

[32] Foltz EL, White LEJ. Pain relief by frontal cingulotomy. *Neurosurgery* 1962; 19:89–100.

[33] Gabriel M. Functions of anterior and posterior cingulate cortex during avoidance learning in rabbits. In: Uylings HBM, Van Eden CG, De Bruin JPC, Corner MA, Feenstra MGP (Eds). *The Prefrontal Cortex: Its Structure, Function and Pathology*, Progress in Brain Research, Vol. 85. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1990, pp 467–482.

[34] Gabriel M. Discriminative avoidance learning: a model system. In: Vogt BA, Gabriel M
(Eds). *Neurobiology of Cingulate Cortex and Limbic Thalamus: A Comprehensive Handbook*.
Boston: Birkhauser, 1993, pp 478–523.

[35] Gage HD, Gage JC, Tobin JR, et al. Morphine-induced spinal cholinergic activation: in vivo imaging with positron emission tomography. *Pain* 2001; 91:139–145.



[36] George MS, Ketter TA, Parekh PI, Herscovitch P, Post RM. Gender differences in regional cerebral blood flow during transient self-induced sadness or happiness. *Biol Psychiatry* 1996; 40:859–871.

[37] Gibson SJ, Littlejohn GO, Gorman MM, Helme RD, Granges G. Altered heat pain thresholds and cerebral event-related potentials following painful CO₂ laser stimulation in subjects with fibromyalgia syndrome. *Pain* 1994; 58:185–193.

[38] Goadsby PJ. Neuroimaging in headache. *Microsc Res Tech* 2001; 53:179–187.

[39] Gracely R, Petzke F, Wolf J, Clauw D. Functional magnetic resonance imaging evidence of augmented pain processing in fibromyalgia. *Arthritis Rheum* 2002; 46:1333–1343.

[40] Gracely RH, Geisser ME, Giesecke T, et al. Pain catastrophizing and neural responses to pain among persons with fibromyalgia. *Brain* 2004; 127:835–843.

[41] Hari R, Kaukoranta E, Reinikainen K, Huopaniemie T, Mauno J. Neuromagnetic localization of cortical activity evoked by painful dental stimulation in man. *Neurosci Lett* 1983; 42:77–82.

[42] Head H, Holmes G. Sensory disturbances from cerebral lesions. *Brain* 1911; 34:102–254.

[43] Hofbauer RK, Rainville P, Duncan GH, Bushnell MC. Cortical representation of the sensory dimension of pain. *J Neurophysiol* 2001; 86:402–411.

[44] Hsieh JC, Belfrage M, Stone-Elander S, Hansson P, Ingvar M. Central representation of chronic ongoing neuropathic pain studied by positron emission tomography. *Pain* 1995; 63:225–236.

[45] Hsieh JC, Stahle-Backdahl M, Hagermark O, et al. Traumatic nociceptive pain activates the hypothalamus and the periaqueductal gray: a positron emission tomography study. *Pain* 1996; 64:303–314.

[46] Hsieh JC, Stone-Elander S, Ingvar M. Anticipatory coping of pain expressed in the human anterior cingulate cortex: a positron emission tomography study. *Neurosci Lett* 1999; 262:61–64.

[47] Hsieh JC, Tu CH, Chen FP, et al. Activation of the hypothalamus characterizes the acupuncture stimulation at the analgesic point in human: a positron emission tomography study. *Neurosci Lett* 2001; 307:105–108.



[48] Hutchison WD, Davis KD, Lozano AM, Tasker RR, Dostrovsky JO. Pain-related neurons in the human cingulate cortex. *Nat Neurosci* 1999; 2:403–405.

[49] Iadarola MJ, Max MB, Berman KF, et al. Unilateral decrease in thalamic activity observed with positron emission tomography in patients with chronic neuropathic pain. *Pain* 1995; 63:55–64.

[50] Iadarola MJ, Berman KF, Zeffiro TA, et al. Neural activation during acute capsaicin-evoked pain and allodynia assessed with PET. *Brain* 1998; 121:931–947.

[51] Jaaskelainen SK, Rinne JO, Forssell H, et al. Role of the dopaminergic system in chronic pain—a fluorodopa-PET study. *Pain* 2001; 20:257–260.

[52] Jones AKP. Do 'pain centres' exist? Br J Rheumatol 1992; 31:290–292.

[53] Jones AKP. The contribution of functional imaging techniques to our understanding of rheumatic pain. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am* 1999; 25:123–152.

[54] Jones AKP, Derbyshire SWG. Cerebral mechanisms operating in the presence and absence of inflammatory pain. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1996; 55:411–420.

[55] Jones AKP, Derbyshire SWG. Reduced cortical responses to noxious heat in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Ann Rheum Dis* 1997; 56:601–607.

[56] Jones AK, Friston KJ, Qi LY, et al. Sites of action of morphine in the brain. *Lancet* 1991a; 338:825.

[57] Jones AKP, Qi LY, Fujirawa T, et al. In vivo distribution of opioid receptors in man in relation to the cortical projections of the medial and lateral pain systems measured with positron emission tomography. *Neurosci Lett* 1991b; 126:25–28.

[58] Jones AKP, Cunningham VJ, Ha KS, et al. Changes in central opioid receptor binding in relation to inflammation and pain in patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *Br J Rheumatol* 1994; 33:909–916.

[59] Jones AK, Kitchen ND, Watabe H, et al. Measurement of changes in opioid receptor binding in vivo during trigeminal neuralgic pain using [¹¹C] diprenorphine and positron emission tomography. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 1999; 19:803–808.

[60] Jones AKP, Kulkarni B, Elliott R, et al. Cortical processing of affective versus sensorydiscriminative aspects of pain–a PET study. *Abstracts: 10th World Congress in Pain*. Seattle: IASP Press, 2002, p 378.



[61] Jones AKP, Kulkarni B, Derbyshire SWG. Pain mechanisms and their disorders. *Br Med Bull* 2003; 65:83–93.

[62] Kakigi R, Inui K, Tamura Y. Electrophysiological studies on human pain perception. *Clin Neurophysiol* 2005; 116(4):743–763.

[63] Kenshalo DR, Isensee O. Responses of primate SI cortical neurons to noxious stimuli. *J Neurophysiol* 1983; 50:1479–1496.

[64] Kenshalo DR, Willis WD. The role of the cerebral cortex in pain sensation. In: Peters A (Ed). *Normal and Altered States of Function*, Cerebral Cortex, Vol. 9. New York: Plenum Press, 1991, pp 153–212.

[65] Kulkarni B, Jones AKP, Elliott R, et al. Cortical processing of affective versus sensorydiscriminative aspects of pain—a PET study. *Abstracts: 10th World Congress in Pain*. Seattle: IASP Press, 2002, p 378.

[66] Kulkarni B, Bentley DE, Elliott R, et al. Attention to pain localisation and unpleasantness discriminates the functions of the medial and lateral pain systems. *Eur J Neurosci* 2005; in press.

[67] Kwan CL, Crawley AP, Mikulis DJ, Davis KD. An fMRI study of the anterior cingulate cortex and surrounding medial wall activations evoked by noxious cutaneous heat and cold stimuli. *Pain* 2000; 85:359–374.

[68] Legrain V, Guerit JM, Bruyer R, Plaghki L. Attentional modulation of the nociceptive processing into the human brain: selective spatial attention, probability of stimulus occurrence, and target detection effects on laser evoked potentials. *Pain* 2002; 99:21–39.

[69] Lewis ME, Mishkin M, Bragin E, et al. Opiate receptor gradients in monkey cerebral cortex: correspondence with sensory processing hierarchies. *Science* 1981; 211:1166–1169.

[70] Liberzon I, Zubieta JK, Fig L, et al. Opioid receptors and limbic responses to aversive emotional stimuli. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002; 99:7084–7089.

[71] Lorenz J, Cross DJ, Minoshima S, et al. A unique representation of heat allodynia in the human brain. *Neuron* 2002; 35:383–393.

[72] Lorenz J, Minoshima S, Casey KL. Keeping pain out of mind: the role of the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex in pain modulation. *Brain* 2003; 126:1079–1091.



[73] Maren S, Poremba A, Gabriel M. Basolateral amygdaloid multi-unit neuronal correlates of discriminative avoidance learning in rabbits. *Brain Res* 1991; 549:311–316.

[74] Marshall J. Sensory disturbances in cortical wounds with special reference to pain. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1951; 14:187–204.

[75] Melzack R. Phantom limbs and the concept of a neuromatrix. *Trends Neurosci* 1990; 13:88–92.

[76] Melzack R, Casey KL. Sensory, motivational, and central control determinants of pain. In: Kenshalo DR (Ed). *The Skin Senses*. Springfield: C.C. Thomas, 1968, pp 423–439.

[77] Merskey H, Bogduk N. Classification of Chronic Pain: Descriptions of Chronic Pain Syndromes and Definitions of Pain Terms, 2nd ed. Seattle: IASP Press, 1994.

[78] Mesulam MM. Large-scale neurocognitive networks and distributed processing for attention, language, and memory. *Ann Neurol* 1990; 28:597–613.

[79] Morecraft RJ, Geula C, Mesulam MM. Architecture of connectivity within a cingulo-frontoparietal neurocognitive network for directed attention. *Arch Neurol* 1993; 50:279–284.

[80] Ostrowsky K, Magnin M, Ryvlin P, et al. Representation of pain and somatic sensation in the human insula: a study of responses to direct electrical cortical stimulation. *Cereb Cortex* 2002; 12:376–385.

[81] Paus T, Koski L, Caramanos Z, Westbury C. Regional differences in the effects of task difficulty and motor output on blood flow response in the human anterior cingulate cortex: a review of 107 PET activation studies. *Neuroreport* 1998; 9:R37–R47.

[82] Petrovic P, Ingvar M. Imaging cognitive modulation of pain processing. *Pain* 2002; 95:1–5.

[83] Petrovic P, Kalso E, Petersson KM, Ingvar M. Placebo and opioid analgesia—imaging a shared neuronal network. *Science* 2002; 295:1737–1740.

[84] Peyron R, Garcia-Larrea L, Gregoire MC, et al. Allodynia after lateral-medullary Wallenberg infarct. A PET study. *Brain* 1998; 121:345–356.

[85] Peyron R, Garcia-Larrea L, Gregoire MC, et al. Haemodynamic brain responses to acute pain in humans: sensory and attentional networks. *Brain* 1999; 122:1765–1779.

[86] Peyron R, Laurent B, Garcia-Larrea L. Functional imaging of brain responses to pain. A review and meta-analysis. *Neurophysiol Clin* 2000; 30:263–288.



[87] Ploghaus A, Tracey I, Gati JS, et al. Dissociating pain from its anticipation in the human brain. *Science* 1999; 284:1979–1981.

[88] Ploghaus A, Tracey I, Clare S, et al. Learning about pain: the neural substrate of the prediction error for aversive events. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2000; 97:9281–9286.

[89] Ploner M, Freund HJ, Schnitzler A. Pain affect without pain sensation in a patient with a postcentral lesion. *Pain* 1999; 81:211–214.

[90] Ploner M, Schmitz F, Freund HJ, Schnitzler A. Differential organization of touch and pain in human primary somatosensory cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 2000; 83:1770–1776.

[91] Porro CA, Cettolo V, Francescato MP, Baraldi P. Temporal and intensity coding of pain in human cortex. *J Neurophysiol* 1998; 80:3312–3320.

[92] Porro CA, Baraldi P, Pagnoni G, et al. Does anticipation of pain affect cortical nociceptive systems? *J Neurosci* 2002; 22:3206–3214.

[93] Posner MI, Petersen SE. The attention system of the human brain. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 1990; 13:25–42.

[94] Raij TT, Numminen J, Narvanen S, Hiltunen J, Hari R. Brain correlates of subjective reality of physically and psychologically induced pain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005; 102:2147–2151.

[95] Rainville P. Brain mechanisms of pain affect and pain modulation. *Curr Opin Neurobiol* 2002; 12:195–204.

[96] Rainville P, Duncan GH, Price DD, Carrier B, Bushnell MC. Pain affect encoded in human anterior cingulate but not somatosensory cortex. *Science* 1997; 277:968–971.

[97] Rainville P, Carrier B, Hofbauer RK, Bushnell MC, Duncan GH. Dissociation of sensory and affective dimensions of pain using hypnotic modulation. *Pain* 1999; 82:159–171.

[98] Schlereth T, Baumgartner U, Magerl W, Stoeter P, Treede RD. Left-hemisphere dominance in early nociceptive processing in the human parasylvian cortex. *Neuroimage* 2003; 20:441–454.

[99] Seymour B, O'Doherty J, Dayan P, et al. Temporal difference models describe higher-order learning in humans. *Nature* 2004; 429:664–667.

[100] Shi T, Stevens RT, Tessier J, Apkarian AV. Spinothalamocortical inputs nonpreferentially innervate the superficial and deep cortical layers of SI. *Neurosci Lett* 1993; 160:209–213.



[101] Sikes RW, Vogt BA. Nociceptive neurons in area 24 of rabbit cingulate cortex. J Neurophysiol 1992; 68:1720–1732.

[102] Singer T, Seymour B, O'Doherty J, et al. Empathy for pain involves the affective but not sensory components of pain. *Science* 2004; 303:1157–1162.

[103] Singh KD, Barnes GR, Hillebrand A, Forde EME, Williams AL. Task-related changes in cortical synchronization are spatially coincident with the hemodynamic response. *Neuroimage* 2002; 16:103–114.

[104] Spetea M, Rydelius G, Nylander I, et al. Alteration in endogenous opioid systems due to chronic inflammatory pain conditions. *Eur J Pharmacol* 2002; 435:245–252.

[105] Tarkka IM, Treede RD. Equivalent electrical source analysis of pain-related somatosensory evoked potentials elicited by a CO₂ laser. *J Clin Neurophysiol* 1993; 10:513–519.

[106] Tölle TR, Kaufmann T, Siessmeier T, et al. Region-specific encoding of sensory and affective components of pain in the human brain: a positron emission tomography correlation analysis. *Ann Neurol* 1999; 45:40–47.

[107] Treede RD, Kenshalo DR, Gracely RH, Jones AKP. The cortical representation of pain. *Pain* 1999; 79:105–111.

[108] Vania AA, Hodge CJ. Primate spinothalamic pathways: I. A quantitative study of the cells of origin of the spinothalamic pathway. *J Comp Neurol* 1989a; 288:447–473.

[109] Vania AA, Hodge CJ. Primate spinothalamic pathways: II. The cells of origin of the dorsolateral and ventral spinothalamic pathways. *J Comp Neurol* 1989b; 288:474–492.

[110] Vania AA, Hodge CJ. Primate spinothalamic pathways: III. Thalamic terminations of the dorsolateral and ventral spinothalamic pathways. *J Comp Neurol* 1989c; 288:493–511.

[111] Vogt BA, Rosene DL, Pandya DN. Thalamic and cortical afferents differentiate anterior from posterior cingulate cortex in the monkey. *Science* 1979; 204:205–207.

[112] Vogt BA, Sikes RW, Vogt LJ. Anterior cingulate cortex and the medial pain system. In: Vogt BA, Gabriel M (Eds). *Neurobiology of Cingulate Cortex and Limbic Thalamus: A Comprehensive Handbook*. Boston: Birkhauser, 1993, pp 313–344.



[113] Vogt BA, Watabe H, Grootoonk S, Jones AKP. Topography of diprenorphine binding in human cingulate gyrus and adjacent cortex derived from coregistered PET and MR images. *Hum Brain Mapp* 1995a; 3:1–12.

[114] Vogt BA, Wiley RG, Jensen EL. Localization of mu and delta opioid receptors to anterior cingulate afferents and projection neurons and input/output model of mu regulation. *Exp Neurol* 1995b; 135:83–92.

[115] Vogt BA, Derbyshire S, Jones AKP. Pain processing in four regions of human cingulate cortex localized with co-registered PET and MR imaging. *Eur J Neurosci* 1996; 8:1461–1473.

[116] Woolf CJ. A new strategy for the treatment of inflammatory pain. Prevention or elimination of central sensitization. *Drugs* 1994; 47(Suppl 5):1–9.

[117] Youell PD, Wise RG, Bentley DE, et al. Lateralisation of nociceptive processing in the human brain: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. *Neuroimage* 2004; 23:1068–1077.

[118] Zubieta JK, Dannals RF, Frost JJ. Gender and age influences on human brain mu-opioid receptor binding measured by PET. *Am J Psychiatry* 1999; 156:842–848.

[119] Zubieta JK, Smith YR, Bueller JA, et al. Regional mu opioid receptor regulation of sensory and affective dimensions of pain. *Science* 2001; 293:311–315.

[120] Frith C. A framework for studying the neural basis of attention. *Neuropsychologia* 2001; 39:1367–1371.

[121] Ibrahim MSM, Bajaj MM, Chaotic Vibrations in Nociceptors and Annihilation of Davydov Solitons, Asian Journal of Physics, vol. 7, No. 3 (1998) pp. 103-113.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291354288_Chaotic_Vibrations_in_Nociceptors_and_An nihilation_of_Davydov_Solitons

[122] Bajaj MM, Ibrahim MSM, Singh VR, Kakarya RN, Gupta HK, Kumar P, Jain DC, BIS effect and pain perception by nociceptive networks in human beings *Asian Journal of Physics*, vol 7, No 1(1998) 51-54.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291354258_BIS_effect_and_pain_perception_by_nocice ptive_networks_in_human_beings .



[123] Bajaj MM, Ibrahim MSM, Study of nonlinear Einsteinian pain and associated chaotic vibrations in Neuromuscular systems, *Ahimsa voice, Shraman Sahitya Sansthan, Educational day-special issue*, (1995), pp. 27-42.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291522847_Study_of_nonlinear_Einsteinian_pain_and_a ssociated_chaotic_vibrations_in_Neuromuscular_systems

[124] Bajaj MM, Ibrahim MSM, Pain Transferability Theorem, 60th Annual Conference of Indian Mathematical Society, University of Poona, Dec. 1994.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291523046_Pain_Transferability_Theorem

[125] Bajaj MM, Ibrahim MSM, A New Theory of Pain Perception by Strings Made of 5 -Hydroxytryptamine Receptors. *First International Physiology Conference*, Dec. 21-23, Lahore (Pakistan) 1993.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291522794_A_New_Theory_of_Pain_Perception_by_Str ings_Made_of_5_-_Hydroxytryptamine_Receptors

[126] Bajaj MM, Ibrahim MSM, A String Theory of Human Feelings and its Association with Neural Networks, First, International Scientific Conference, March 20-23 1995, Cairo, Egypt. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/291522957_A_String_Theory_of_Human_Feelings_and_ its_Association_with_Neural_Networks