

REVOLUTIONIZING DATA COLLECTION IN MANUFACTURING: A FRAMEWORK FOR REAL-TIME MONITORING AND PREDICTIVE MAINTENANCE

Samuel Johnson samueljohnson1214@gmail.com

Abstract

Industry 4.0 is changing the old-fashioned manufacturing sector using critical technologies like the Internet of Things (IoT), Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Edge computing. Phenomena that make it possible to monitor equipment for immediate or upcoming faults as opposed to traditional data accumulation and maintenance styles. This article provides various specific technologies to address these hindrances, such as offline data collection, manual search, lack of forecast function, and different data structures. It proposes using IoT sensors for accurate data capture, edge computing for local data analysis and aggregation, and IoT cloud for data storage and analysis. Through the use of machine learning, the framework avails the ability to carry out predictive maintenance, hence decreasing the costs and time taken. Some important application areas, such as digital twins, M2M and energy efficiency applications, provide insights into manufacturing optimization. Real-time monitoring technologies are not just an effective tool for increasing efficiency in production lines; herein, the case of the iPhone assembly line has vividly illustrated this fact. This framework outlines a way forward for manufacturers who intend to survive, thrive and be efficient and sustainable in the future digital economy.

Keywords: Industry 4.0, Real-time observation capability, Proactive maintenance, Integration of Web, Edge computing concepts, AI, Data gathering in industry, Digital replicas, M2M connectivity, Energy efficiency tracking

I. INTRODUCTION

Technology is advancing at a faster rate, creating what has come to be known as the fourth industrial revolution or Industry 4.0, which is the construction of digital production system suction. Industry 4.0 incorporates IoT, AI, and Edge computing to change classical manufacturing to Smart Systems. This transformation not only optimizes the operation of the manufacturing process but also allows local manufacturing conditions to be developed responsively. The combination of these technologies implied a better data connection, which allows the proper communication between machines, devices, and humans involved in the production life cycle. They became more capable of data gathering and analysis and getting accurate time understanding and action for better manufacturing operations that improve flexibility, output efficiency and reduced expenses. An additional component of Industry 4.0 is the capacity to gather and apply real-time data, on which manufacturing enhancement and strategic initiative determination considerably rely on. Real-time data helps manufacturers observe the health of machines, the current output and productivity, instant adjustments of processes, and instant identification of problems, leading to maximum utilization of machine time. Real-time data is

markedly different from traditional approaches, which are typically slower, more labour-intensive, and often based on reports rather than real-time analysis, and it fits well in the context of the proactive environment required for continued and improved manufacturing." Therefore, moving from the reactive type of maintenance to the predictive type of maintenance makes it possible for the manufacturing sector to improve the lifespan of equipment educated with unplanned downtime costs (Gill, 2018).

Figure 1: Evolution of the Industry 4.0 Revolution

1. Purpose of the Article

This article presents a conceptual framework that uses Industry 4.0 technologies such as the IoT, AI, and edge computing to improve data monitoring and affective maintenance in manufacturing adequately. There is IoT sensors, edge computing, cloud integration, and predictive maintenance models based on AI Machine learning. The primary role of IoT sensors is to gather data as various parameters are being monitored by them by procuring data in different operational conditions, temperature, vibration, cycle times etc., and sending these data to local or cloud IoT platforms. The process is where edge computing is central due to the effectiveness of processing data at edge nodes, which enhances the timeliness of data transfer (Nyati, 2018). Cloud integration then enables easy data accumulation and analysis across various facilities to help in deriving deeper understanding and hypothesis of trends. Last but not least, self-generated predictive maintenance models use the accumulated data to find suitable patterns that may be suggestive of a failure, thus helping the manufacturers to schedule their maintenance in a way that reduces the probability of havoc in their manufacturing machinery (Nyati, 2018). By describing the context of this conceptual framework, the article attempts to demonstrate how real-time monitoring and model-based prediction are feasible to deal with the issues of conventional manufacturing systems. Such challenges include: The challenges faced include data siloes, using paper or excel to collect data, and the more damage of relying purely on fixed interval maintenance regimes. These problems can be solved with the help of the integration of IoT, AI and edge computing which opens the path to greater productivity, better asset usage and lower operational costs for manufacturers. In addition, this framework also fits into the objectives of Industry 4.0 while giving manufacturers a structure in which they can plan how to stay relevant against their competition as the industry continues to shift into the digital world. Adopting such change makes the manufacturing operations to achieve new levels of effectiveness, flexibility and robustness, these key factors which will enhance the long-term prospects in the manufacturing industry.

II. CURRENT CHALLENGES IN DATA COLLECTION IN MANUFACTURING

The proper recording of data should be important to manufacturing industries that want to enhance production and maintain the proper maintenance schedule. But unfortunately, many manufacturers continue to struggle with the timeliness and accuracy of the data that their

equipment provides. The primary issues to do with offline data collection in manufacturing are explained in the following subtopics: Offline data collection, manual data collection, the absence of predictive maintenance, and varying data format.

1. Offline Data Collection

This is an attribute used when collecting data outside web technology applications. In this regard, the study revealed that a high percentage of the manufacturing machines remain disconnected from Industry 4.0 networks. This is mainly due to the age of these legacy systems, which dos to-do possess the functionality needed for data transfer. There are a lot of offline machines, which create data silos that offer limited supports to the decision-making process (Pach et al, 2005). Because these machines are not networked into a central database, the data must be retrieved by hand, which is often a time-consuming chore. This offline nature also inconveniences real-time data sharing; hence, the manufacturer cannot make quick adjustments to the machine operation or tackle performance issues in the shortest time possible. These two show that a lack of connectivity also affects the flow of operations and organizational decision-making frameworks. Offline machines cannot give instant information of performance parameters such as rate of production, the power consumed or signs of wear. Consequently, plant managers and operators cannot identify problems, such as inefficiencies or potential failures, that could be prevented, leading to reduced production and, more often than not, unscheduled downtime. Offline data collection increases the problem of automation to an even greater extent as the data has to be entered manually and thus there may be errors. As such, offline systems deprive the real-time monitoring solutions that could be used for constant enhancements, including the maintenance schedule. The aforementioned offline data collection creates limitations to the implementation of IoT solutions due to their nature, where network connections are requisite for efficiency. Without this connectivity it is impossible to fully benefit from such technologies as edge computing or machine learning which require large amounts of data for processing. Therefore, the digital machinery environment in the manufacturing industry hinders the extent of effective operation, restricts decision-making utilizing big data, and hinders competition in a growing computerized market.

Figure 2: Breaking Down Data Silos

2. Manual Data Retrieval

Paper base data collection continues to be common in manufacturing settings with industries that still use aged equipment. The said processes entail writing down such aspects as the production rates, status of the machines, and performance indicators, all of which are done in a timeconsuming and ineffective manner. Manual data processes have a significant drawback that lies in the delay of data availability making real-time monitoring impossible and the subsequent adjustments to the processes of production. This delay becomes particularly dangerous in volatile

manufacturing contexts, where fast responses are probably the most useful for productivity. Manual data collection and entry raises the possibility of human errors radically (Reason, 1990). Errors may be due to factors such as fatigue, misunderstanding, or failure to observe certain data aspects, thus producing less accurate and reliable data, which may alter the validity of subsequent analysis. Such errors may distort the performance measures, create wrong assumptions and break the efficiency of managerial decisions. Other challenges include inaccuracies in data; where inconsistency, error, and duplication exist, it reduces the effectiveness of data as a fundamental tool in predictive algorithms, which are used to predict future problems based on historical performance data. Of Manual data collection could pose problems, such as non-homogeneity, in that the operators could enter data in different formats or even in different units. This makes it challenging to sum and compare information gathered from different machines a factor which may slow down operational intelligence. Therefore, manufacturing facilities using manual data capture are bound to face challenges in improving their operations and integrating efficient, more effective data-based approaches.

3. Lack of Predictive Maintenance Capabilities

One of the major drawbacks about traditional manufacturing environments is the lack of effective predictive maintenance functions. Unfortunately, most manufacturers still use fixed time intervals to perform a maintenance schedule instead of an intelligent one. This approach is recursive and resists change because it fails to examine actual working conditions or wear levels of machines at hand. Subsequently, the maintenance is mostly conducted either before the time is due, and as a result, the costs are incurred unnecessarily or it is done rather very late and one is caught unprepared in case of failure of the particular equipment. Real-time data and machine learning support predictive maintenance providing manufacturers with tools to anticipate equipment failures (Çınar et al, 2020). For example, to perform a particular task, you have certain sensors on the machines you are using through the IoT technology; you are then able to determine when to maintain or service the machines depending on their condition as opposed to abiding by standard schedules. However, in the absence of such analytical results, the manufacturers undergo an unplanned downtime which is very costly in terms of resource usage and time taken. Due to the failure of machines that act as the backbone of manufacturing businesses, billions of dollars are lost through unplanned downtime. Also, decision-makers and producers need to have strategic ways to realize the optimum lifespan of some manufacturing assets or decrease some expenses regarding their repairs. Fixed-interval is often costly cause it does not consider the operational rhythms of each machine. While general preventive maintenance only allows one to operate and maintain equipment in a conventional manner, predictive maintenance provides an opportunity to maintain them only when needed. The absence of this capability makes manufacturers vulnerable

4. Inconsistent Data Formats

Another big problem for industrial automation arises from the fact that the data generated by machines from different manufacturers arrives in different formats. This creates dissimilarities in terms of data management and hinders the ability to consolidate data from one machine/ facility to another, hence limiting the overall view of the operations. They are a hindrance when data collected from diverse sources is not put in a format that is consistent with that used across functions. It also limits the application of enhanced methods of analysis drawing on standardized input data, which can be introduced when data formats are standardized. If machine data carries different measurement units or parameter labels, operators are confronted with further activities of interpretation or normalization of the data (Zimmermann, 2020). This additional step however increases the time it takes to process and also brings uncertainty into the fold since most of the time, conversions are likely to be inaccurate. Middleware solutions may still be useful in resolving the nature of data format by synchronizing the data coming from different sources before entering a consolidated databank for analysis. At the same time, introduction of such solutions demands extra investments and using specific methods. Such data formats, therefore, prove to be a hindrance to data integration, which constrains the real-time data utilization across the manufacturing facility, thus limiting the digital transformation processes in the industry.

Figure 5: Data Normalization Explained

III. PROPOSED SOLUTION: REAL-TIME DATA COLLECTION FRAMEWORK

Consequently, due to the advancements of Industry 4.0, manufacturing operations are gradually incorporating real-time data collection frameworks to achieve higher performance and implement predictive maintenance regimes. The application of IoT sensors, edge computing, cloud integration, and machine learning provides a sound solution that can help get around traditional manufacturing problems. The following outlines each element and explains how it as a portfolio

works synergistically to generate a framework for leveraging data to monitor and predict machine performance and upcoming maintenance requirements.

1. IoT Sensors for Data Collection

The IoT is increasingly shaping manufacturing since objects like sensors can be connected to offer real-time monitoring. IoT sensors monitor a number of factors such as temperature, vibration and cycle times and provide data that enables you to understand the state of the machines. These devices are constantly feeding data which the manufacturers can then use for the determination of operational health and likely breakdowns. For instance, if the temperature rises beyond the required level in a particular machine, a sensor can notify the technicians to fix the problem to ensure the efficiency of production processes and minimize frequent callbacks for repairs. Integrating IoT sensors into older machines is one of the affordable expertise of upgrading old machines into new efficient monitoring machines. Some industrial processes use outdated tools that do not include monitoring systems, which, slows down decision-making processes (Pouliezos & Stavrakakis, 2013). Retrofitting involves fixing IoT sensors on these machines so they can gather real-time information without installing new systems. This approach assists manufacturers in effectively realize the investments done on existing equipment and, simultaneously, capturing the value of digital transformation. Further, when utilizing IoT sensors, new and existing machines are integrated in the IoT ecosystem, leading to different data stream being more tied together.

Figure 6: Smart Sensors and Smart Data for Precision Agriculture: A Review

2. Edge Computing for Local Data Processing

Edge computing is an approach where action on data happens near the data, not only relying on one major central cloud. The goal of edge computing is to cut the distance that data has to travel to get processed, thus possessing little latency, which is very significant in those applications where data has to turn into information as soon as possible. Therefore, in manufacturing, where quick response is usually desired, edge computing improves the flexibility in order execution owing to reductions in big data analysis time. For instance, in a production line, data from various IoT sensors can be processed locally, resulting in real-time tweaking of the machines' operations and accurate real-time notification of emerging problems. Another substantial use of edge computing is enhancing data send/receive productivity. In the particular case of a factory floor, data received from IoT sensors do not necessarily require flowing to central systems for analysis: raw data is preprocessed, and only the necessary information is forwarded (Stolpe, 2016). Hitachi's local processing minimizes the bandwidth demands and the demands placed on mainframes, which can be very helpful when dealing with substantial manufacturing corporations, as those generate large volumes of data. In this way, using AI at the edge of the production line also cuts the amount of traffic in the producer's network, giving them more control over handling essential data in real time.

Figure 7: What is Edge Computing? ☁️Definition, Technology, Examples

3. Cloud Integration for Data Aggregation and Analysis

While edge computing focuses on data processing at local facilities, cloud integration will allow for data to be collected from different facilities and put into a single database for analysis. Cloud platforms offer extensive storage capabilities, retaining large volumes of data whilst ensuring their accessibility for detailed analysis at several sites (Hashem et al, 2015). This scalability is especially useful for multinational businesses or organizations with various manufacturing centres since the collected data may be analysed instantaneously for an overall perception of the operations. Cloudbased solutions also integrate complex analytical tools, which comprise ways and means on how to turn the raw data into good knowledge. Through cloud structures, manufacturers can install statistical methods tailored to identify such patterns and uncover areas that require strengthening and when the infrastructure used is likely to fail. For instance, a cloud system in a fleet of vehicles can interpret the tendencies of several machines to wear or even fail. The above centralization makes it possible to organize the maintenance strategies and plans in such a way that slows down the downtime of assets. Also, it is possible to provide remote access through integration with the cloud. At the same time, the infrastructure of manufacturing will be controlled from any place with the help of key stakeholders, which is vital in the current global manufacturing environment.

Figure 8: Big data analytics in Cloud computing: an overview

Two, data risks again can be listed as another crucial advantage of the integration of cloud networks: data resilience. Redundancy provisions are built directly into cloud systems so that the critical machine information does not get lost or corrupted when the hardware it is housed on fails. This has taken a variant twist and is critical for manufacturing operations in maintaining the free flow of data in the decision-making process. They also provide data security, one of the reasons that concern manufacturing companies that seek to monitor real-time data.

4. Predictive Maintenance with ML

Organizing machine learning with real-time data acquisition in maintenance reduces the guesswork of set schedules for maintenance, which is another significant step up from conventional maintenance. Fixed-interval maintenance, as may be obvious, may take much time and be very expensive compared to predictive maintenance, which uses the acquired data to

anticipate equipment failure before it happens. The patterns that are derived from sensor data collected from IoT devices are used by machine learning algorithms to predict the time before equipment failure occurs. The following strategy enables manufacturers only to undergo maintenance were not that isn't necessary, helping to cut costs and ensure low levels of unplanned downtime. The decision-making abilities of machine learning models deploy predictive patterns through data analysis that is enhanced with the addition of fresh information (Zhou et al, 2017). In particular, an algorithm may discover that a slight increase in vibration levels occurs before a motor failure. Thus, over time, it will improve its understanding of this relationship; thus, it will become more effective in predicting similar problems in other machines. Such predictive capability is quite useful, especially in a critical environment like the automotive or electronics manufacturing industry, where equipment health and readiness are critical in delivering production schedules and quality timelines.

The applications of model-based maintenance go beyond fail-safe prevention of equipment breakdowns. It also pays to apply machine learning in proper scheduling of the maintenance to occur in the off hours of production. It enables manufacturers to determine when a machine is most likely out of order to fix it during a period of low activity in production. Besides, through the integration of different sets of data, thereby including the environmental conditions and usage patterns, the machine learning algorithms can design precise service schedules. Such details assist manufacturers in optimizing their resource utilization and bringing down costs of regular maintenance as well as increasing helpful the lifespan of the machines.

IV. RETROFITTING LEGACY MACHINES FOR REAL-TIME DATA COLLECTION

Real-time data collection for manufacturing systems is crucial, especially for traditional machinery needed within the updating process. Since these machines are not networked, retrofitting is a costeffective way to get speed data and increase efficiency. This section explores how best to integrate new systems from old ones, the technologies used in retrofitting, prospects, and some of the challenges of the idea.

1. Steps for Upgrading Legacy Systems

The integration of old machines into a new system demands that proper architecture be followed to integrate substandard machines into a new system without additional data collection devices. The first step needs to involve evaluating the current state of the machine with or without the capability to enhance; this usually includes identifying the suitable machines to integrate and identifying specific parameters that are useful in measuring. For instance, older machines do not come with in-built temperature monitoring or other vibration sensors, indicating the machinery's health and maintenance requirements. Defining which measures to address in practice simplifies the selection of ideal sensors and the overall strategy for data (Wilson, 2004). The following operational phase is to choose and implement sensors as soon as they are assessed. These sensors are the instruments for primary data acquisition, which collect information directly from the machine in real-time mode. However, the inclusion of sensors needs to create connectivity on its own. Using protocols to transfer data over the network requires integration, which frequently involves developing compatible interfaces or adaptors. Furthermore, incorporating these sensors into middleware assists in managing the data influx, as well as compatibility with the current MES or ERP systems. Testing and calibration are the last steps of the retrofitting process. This tests that sensors and communication setups work as required and provides a good indication of the

machines' performance. Testing is thus a frequent process requirement and hence crucial in situations where inference is rife or when sensing data may be volatile. Once this is done, the testing assures the machine is ready for constant collection of real-time data without interrupting the process.

Figure 9: The Legacy process as a barrier for an Industry 4.0 upgrade

2. Key Technologies for Retrofitting

Sensors, protocol, and middleware are three subcomponents that comprise the communication infrastructure of wireless sensor networks. Integrating existing machinery for real-time data collection requires a set of necessary technologies to fill the gap between the traditional and intelligent factories. Sensors, communication protocols, and middleware are essential aspects of retrofitting since they constitute the procedure for data capture, processing, and transfer.
 $\text{array}_{\text{interfaces}}$

Figure 10: Structure of a wireless sensor network (WSN) system

- A. **Sensors:** Different sensors are essential in obtaining real-time information from the legacy machines. Video sensors are some of the standard sensors, and there are a variety of them which are widely used, such as temperature sensors, vibration sensors and current sensors (Shieh et al, 2001). All such sensors depend on what the machine is supposed to do and where it is most likely to fail. Temperature probes, for example, are helpful when machines are likely to overheat, while vibration probes are relevant in detecting strains on reciprocating parts. Installing such sensors typically requires placement around specific vulnerable points to get an efficient read-off.
- B. **Communication Protocols**: Interfaces facilitate the flow of data from the sensors to analytical data units. Security and reliability standards such as Modbus, OPC-UA, and MQTT ensure secure and efficient data transfer vital for integration into current digital platforms. Each protocol serves distinct purposes: for example, Modbus is preferable in the case of carrying out simple read and write operations, while MQTT is optimal for performing basic and slightly more complex operations in large-scale networks while addressing multiple devices. Choosing the protocol depends on the data size, frequency of the change in data and the needed network bandwidth. The tight integration between different protocols and the current MES or ERP means that legacy machines in an industrial setting can be included in the overall network of connected devices.

C. **Middleware:** Integration is a significant characteristic of the middleware where the data coming from the old generation of machines is processed, made compatible to fit specific standards, and funnelled to the cloud systems or any specific analytics platform as needed. Middleware solutions work as translators between sensors and consolidated databases, processing the data in real time. This layer is required when you connect machines from a number of producers or machines that employ diverse protocols in interacting with the controller, as middleware can consolidate the data to remove disparate data. Middleware allows the assembly of data from disparate nodes and provides a single vantage point on manufacturing operations, improving decision-making (Chen et al, 2008).

3. Advantages of upgrading Older Machines

In fact, retrofitting refurbishes legacy machinery and brings lots of advantages, which makes it the most preferred option for the modernization of manufacturing processes by manufacturers. To start with, the first recorded advantage of outsourcing is cost saving. Retrofitting allows the gathering of efficient information without the necessity of obtaining expensive equipment and using capital to build new machines. Through data acquisition and analysis, manufacturers will be able to track machines' performance, schedule a time for repair, and even avoid frequent and costly downtimes. Another is enhanced efficiency, associated with prompt and cost-effective economic operations. Real-time data collection also enables probable maintenance techniques, where problems can be detected and solved even in their preparatory stage before affecting machine breakdown. This predictive approach assists in preventing accidental downtimes, which may be financially draining and compromise production time (Edwards et al, 1998). For instance, in an assembly line, it is possible to use sensors to check temperature and vibration, which will give a warning of possible machine deterioration. Retrofitting also improves the level of data visibility and contributes to better decisions made. The KPIs also assist the manufacturing firm managers to comprehend the machines' performance so that changes can be made depending on the real-time information gathered. Data received from machines that have been retrofitted to MES or ERP systems enables a view of the entire food production process, therefore making it easier to control and monitor it.

4. Problems of Retrofitting Older Machines

In regard to the challenges that need addressing in relation to retrofitting, several can be identified. Despite all these positive aspects, several challenges emerge. In relation to retrofitting, several issues can be identified. First, it poses the question of how companies can transition from using current sensors and protocols to implementing them on ageing equipment. Old-generation machines do not have consistent ports, making integrating sensors challenging, and numerous adaptations are required. This can raise retrofit costs and give complications of compatibility with equipment from various makers, which are a no-go. Further real-time data transfer can threaten the system's security (Li et al, 2010). Newer machines are much less vulnerable because they were built to be connected to the Internet from the ground up, while older machines were not. To manage these risks successfully, manufacturers must ensure that data is sufficiently encrypted and adequately authenticated. Making a system secure from cyber threats can be expensive and challenging; the best strategy is to prevent cyber threats from accessing the systems. Last, the cost of retrofitting, which is required once, is prohibitive to the manufacturers. However, initial costs can be high, although retrofitting is cheaper than acquiring new machinery, particularly when retrofitting several machines in the process line. This means that the manufacturers need to look at

the long-term advantages of using the system, for instance, minimizing the time the equipment will be out of service and realizing the advantages of a long-lasting system.

Figure 11: A Review on Sensor‐Integrating Machine Elements

V. ADVANCED FEATURES AND ENHANCEMENTS

Among the new characteristics in manufacturing systems integrated with Industry 4.0, digital twin, M2M connection, and energy consumption monitoring are crucial for improving productivity, increasing equipment efficiency, and decreasing expenses. These highly distinctive features help make manufacturing smarter because they provide real-time data and promote sustainability. This section elucidates the function, advantages, and uses of each feature within the manufacturing paradigm that is prevalent today.

1. Digital Twin Integration

Digital twin as defined here is a digital model that accurately represents an actual asset, process or system used for performance prediction in real time. Digital twins play essential roles in manufacturing as real-time status indicators, predictors of equipment condition, and facilitators of enhanced productivity. Introducing digital twins in manufacturing environments makes it possible to capture and analyze data in real time, hence improving the observation of the performance of the machines and how the production line is undertaking its work (Tao et al, 2019). Digital twins work based on IoT sensors within the equipment; hence, they mimic real-life conditions of the physical equipment. This replication improves accurate time monitoring since manufacturers and mechanics can assess the health and performance of the machine from a distance. For example, suppose a machine's temperature or vibration level rises. In that case, the digital twin can identify this as a sign of a problem, sound an alarm, and possibly forecast problems before they cause downtime. Therefore, the digital twin technology model offers the opportunity to develop a preventive and predictive strategy for machines that must be maintained and optimized to reduce overall failure time and extend the life of the equipment.

Figure 12: The Impact of IoT and Digital Twin Integration in Manufacturing

Making steady assessments of the behaviours of machinery through digital twins before physically adjusting it also leads to the optimization of machines. This ensures that where there might be a risk with regard to operational changes, anything that goes wrong can be modelled in the digital

twin platform. As a result, manufacturers could look for ways in which to improve production processes while not considering errors that could be detrimental to the shop floor. Also, for diagnostic performances, digital twins enhance the possibility of analytic examination by technicians of the state of machines without direct check-ups. This feature makes it easy to minimize maintenance time and increase operational efficiency because any decision made through this feature can be made in record time.

2. Machine to Machine (M2M) Communication

Machine-to-machine (M2M) communication enables, in the environment of the production unit, the conveying of information to and from the equipment and the modification of its functioning as per the upstream and downstream results. This integrated web of machines supports alterations in response to changes in production conditions to improve production flow and mutual synchronization of processes. IoT plays a central role in M2M communication, and protocols mean that machines can share relevant information on their usage and requirements in real time. Another effectiveness of M2M communication, specifically in the production line, is that it quickly eliminates bottleneck effects. For instance, if a machine notes an increase of the load on a neighbouring machine it is able to reduce its rate of production output. This worked in a way that that not only increased production efficiency but also decreased the probability of exhausting specific machines and causing them to wear out quickly. The bridging of M2M simplifies the operation of manufacturing systems since it links the operations of its machines with the hope of producing goods efficiently without depending on human input (Cullinen & MCMaHon, 2013).

Figure 13: Machine-to-Machine Communication in the IoT Era: A Comprehensive Guide

The use of M2M communication enhances predictive maintenance based on the exchanges of data between connected machinery and headquarters. Integrating sensors into the machines makes it possible to monitor and transmit real-time data on the operational status of those machines so as to facilitate decision-making of maintenance schedules by the maintenance teams. This connectivity also improves the diagnostics of machinery since from data obtained from various connected machines, one can deduce whether a machine needs repair or not. As a result, M2M communication is also very central to creating a long-term, proactive maintenance solution that lowers the likelihood of downtime and related costs. The use of M2M, however, calls for wellestablished standard integration communication procedures to allow for interoperability of the different kinds of machinery. For manufacturers, this standardization might mean integrating many existing machines with components compatible with the M2M network or incorporating middleware products that act as a linking layer for various machine systems. Nevertheless, it is rational to admit that M2M communication could be very effective in managing enterprise production flow and maintenance operations, thereby making it a valuable tool in reaching better manufacturing environments.

Volume-6, Issue-10, 2020 ISSN No: 2348-9510

3. Energy Efficiency Monitoring

Energy conservation has become a critical factor in contemporary production since it is a crucial aspect of reducing greenhouse gas and cost. Telemetry lets manufacturers monitor energy use in real-time by machine, detect inefficiencies, and then make strategic changes in energy use. This fosters environmental sustainability in manufacturing and merges with the more significant goals of Industry 4.0 by adopting responsibility into sophisticated manufacturing practices. Energy efficiency monitoring is mainly used to help manufacturers gain knowledge on how much energy is required by different machines and production processes (Bunse et al, 2011). From this data, the manufacturers will understand when energy consumption is high and the efficiency of each machinery. For instance, machines that need to be fixed may be using a lot of energy, an aspect which will make the operation to be costly. Energy management enables manufacturers to identify these areas of wastage and then modify the settings of the machines or swap old machines with new energy-demanding ones, hence reducing energy use.

Tracking and Monitoring Energy Usage

Figure 14: Monitoring Energy Usage

Real-time energy monitoring also helps in preventive maintenance because it links the energy use to the condition of the machines. An increase in the frequency, for example, with which a machine uses energy could be a sign of mechanical problems or faulty parts. If manufacturers can discover such patterns early, they can carry out maintenance before the problem gets to this stage, saving them the expenses of repairing and getting their production line down. Additionally, energy efficiency measurement can be helpful to ensure that manufacturers operate within the legal restrictions of many areas worldwide that have established quotas on energy use. Finally, in the course of ongoing monitoring and optimization, manufacturers can show that they are compliant with sustainable practices and regulatory measures at the same time. Furthermore, it supports energy efficiency's crucial role in informing resource management at manufacturing facilities. The energy requirements of each machine can then be known, and production-related activities can be planned at night, or the resources can be utilized for more efficient processes (Thiede, 2012). The capacity to approach energy management in this strategic manner realizes two effects: the mitigation of operating expenses and the lessening of the environmentally damaging footprint of manufacturing processes, thereby achieving corporate objectives of sustainability.

VI. CASE STUDY: REAL-TIME DATA MONITORING IN AN IPHONE ASSEMBLY LINE

Monitoring real-time data has become a defining mark in manufacturing, especially in technical manufacturing, such as iPhone assembly. This paper provides a case analysis of real-time data collection by considering the metrics collection and monitoring at Apple, technologies applied, and the consequent performance improvement. Real-time monitoring in the iPhone assembly line, borrowing from the ideas under telematics and data analytics, successfully shows an IoT and predictive maintenance synergy, making operations efficient and enhancing the performance of the assembly line machinery.

1. Real-time data collection in the Assembly Line

The production line for the iPhone involves a series of operations that are strictly more than operation lines and demand higher accuracy. To meet the results of continuous improvement within Apple production lines while maintaining the quality of its product assembly, the company uses a connected IoT web that allows monitoring time, speed, and efficiency of the instruments employed in the assembly line; this is made probable by installing IoT sensors on machinery use. These sensors provide steady clips of other parameters critical that are to the efficiency of the machines and the quality of the end product, such as vibration, temperature, and cycles. All the metrics mentioned above play their role, either in studying potential problems or, vice versa, in increasing effectiveness. For instance, high amplitudes or variations in the cycle time could indicate mechanical concerns, while high temperature could be an indication of a problem with machinery cooling systems. Utilizing the IoT sensors in real-time data monitoring for industrial uses of the machinery, such as telematics for automobiles, has become customary for predictive maintenance (Chen, 2020). This is also true in the iPhone manufacturing line, where all components are correctly grouped by their functionality in the same way that all machines are correctly set to work optimally. Temperature sensors detect the heat produced during assembly. In case this is not controlled, it affects the integrated circuits. By tracking and consistently monitoring temperature, the assembly line can prevent a floor's temperature from reaching levels that will cause harm to the iPhones or delicate parts, which also enhances the quality and reliability of the assembly line.

2. Elements Measured and an Implication to Operations

Some of the things that are measured in the iPhone assembly line include vibrations, temperatures and cycles. Each of these metrics has a specific role in maintaining operational continuity and quality:

- A. **Vibration Monitoring**: Vibration data is used to identify problems associated with mechanical friction. Vibration over a specified frequency range could show that the shafts, bearings, gears, and other rotating parts are out of alignment, or there is an unbalanced load, or the start of bearing faults. Through monitoring vibration, the technicians will be able to tackle these problems before they stop the machines (Scheffer & Girdhar, 2004). The same data from vibration sensors prevent a breakdown, thus reducing the life-cycle of the machines and their components.
- B. **Temperature Monitoring**: Temperature changes may affect the operations of machines and the quality of stocks that have been assembled. Another example is the monitoring of temperature in real-time to avoid damaging overheating of machines that would easily cost much money to replace. Of all the processes inside the iPhone assembly line, temperature regulation remains one of the most critical conditions since lithium batteries and microchips used in iPhones can easily get damaged by heat. By getting an instantaneous read on temperature, an assembly line can adjust cooling in real-time, thereby minimizing the chances of a temperature error leading to low yield.
- C. **Cycle Times**: Cycle times are used to determine the general capacity of a production process. Cycle time is when it takes for each machine to perform the allocated task on the line. Cycling time produces data in real-time, which means that managers can detect restrictive areas and change them (Rathore et al, 2018). For instance, if a particular station exhibits a longer cycle time for some hour of a day or some days in a week, then this requires a maintenance check or

perhaps the attention of a station's operator. Using cycle times can improve production flow; the following analysis will show how applications such as those of the Apple manufacturing facility can support the proper flow of the manufacturing process by tracking cycle times to increase production.

3. Outcomes Achieved by Predictive Maintenance &Optimization

The advantages of real-time data collection in the iPhone assembly line are significant. Data analytics support in predictive maintenance provides Apple with little to no time for machine breakdown, enhances efficiency and product quality, and reduces time wasted through machine breakdowns with its production lines. By utilizing predictive maintenance, Apple can forecast when there may be problems with its machinery and only schedule maintenance accordingly. This helps cut many unnecessary maintenance expenses and also avoids many interferences with the production systems. Accurate prediction of equipment maintenance improves asset reliability, which is one of the operational performances well exemplified in Apple. Through modelling of potential failures, Apple guarantees that each machine should work, thus minimizing the possibility of production stoppage (Cusumano, 2012). Another significant advantage is the ability to improve machine efficiency by making data-based changes to their functioning. Some of the advantages of real-time feedback include the ability to make prompt changes in the physical working to get the right setting for all the machines to enhance efficiency and minimize wastage. For example, minor corrections can be made when the vibration level increases to bring the parts back to the relevant position without stressing machine components and leading to other mechanical problems. Moreover, keeping the best record of the temperatures and times of the cycle will help avoid having an off-product in Apple's production line. This proactive approach to communication rids paper-based systems to increase efficiency regarding transactions between systems.

Figure 15: Predictive Maintenance with Machine Learning

4. Enabling Technology that Supports and Sustains the Ability to Monitor Occurrences in Real-Time

The technological foundation of Apple's system applied for real-time monitoring is elaborate and rests on IoT and cloud technologies. IoT devices convey information from the assembly floor to other control centres, where the information is analysed, and valuable information is generated. Apple organizes data by cloud platforms, which makes this information enabled for all level decision makers of multiple assembly lines data. This infrastructure goes beyond supporting predictive maintenance; it also improves Apple's capability of using machine learning algorithms, which are gradually improved by data history patterns. The integration of M2M communication systems affects the performance of its assembly line since it is used in communication within the entire line (Verma et al, 2016). M2M communication enables machines to adapt their operations by inputting information from other processing machinery next to them, thereby minimizing intervention and improving efficiency. This communication allows machines to share information,

Volume-6, Issue-10, 2020 ISSN No: 2348-9510

thereby reducing hitches and optimizing the workload of the assembly line.

VII. CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS IN IMPLEMENTING REAL-TIME DATA COLLECTION

Real-time data integration in a production environment paints a big picture of manufacturing possibilities in terms of heavy productivity and minuscule downtime. Nevertheless, the adoption of such systems raises difficulties based on the analysis of data, price, and security systems. This section looks at these challenges and gives practical recommendations.

1. Data Integration Issues

Several issues arise when collecting data in real-time, but one is how data from different types of machinery with dissimilar communication patterns will be reported. In many manufacturing facilities, the equipment is procured from multiple vendors, and each equipment vendor generally has its specific proprietary communication protocol or data structures. This explains why real-time monitoring systems integrated into other systems experience flagship problems because of this strategic inconsistency in exchanging data in different formats. To solve this kind of problem, middleware solutions are starting to become widespread. Middleware can be defined as another level of software that organizes data from a number of different sources so that it can be read and used by the primary central data processing systems (Bernstein, 1996). Middleware turns one data format into another, making it easier for real-time systems to process information from other machines. Moreover, middleware solutions have interface protocols for translating, whereby the systems involved may be old machinery, and they may not have the communicative interface of the newer systems. It increases compatibility and prevents critical data from older equipment from being omitted from the real-time monitoring process. Middleware integration can introduce significant cost savings because the entity does not have to replace all its machinery totally wholly, but it can use it to improve the integration of data.

Figure 16: Middleware System - an overview

2. Initial Costs of Retrofitting

Real-time data acquisition in an existing manufacturing setting involves significant upfront costs when installing the technology on existing machines, which presents a challenge to cost-conscious manufacturers. Considering the costs related to retrofitting, the IoT sensors needed for generating data, middleware to integrate different data sources, edge computing required for processing the data, and, potentially, network upgrades managing the influx of data. While such technology is beneficial in the long run, mainly because of its capacity to reduce expenditure in the long term, SMEs might find the cost of procuring it high in the short run. Although retrofitting requires the initial investment of resources, this is offset by the many long-term benefits of retrofitting, as shown below (Ma et al, 2012). Data acquisition in real-time helps to predict when equipment is likely to fail and schedule maintenance, thus cutting on time when equipment is out of action,

thereby increasing the life of the equipment, which in effect reduces cost in the long run. Moreover, real-time understanding of activities in a given organization can enhance decisionmaking patterns that may lead to increased performance and efficient use of resources. Research has found that organizations using predictive maintenance may achieve savings on maintenance expenses of between 20% and 30% and minimize unplanned downtime due to equipment malfunctions by about 68%. In an effort to avoid the massive outlay that comes with implementing an effective product traceability system, some manufacturers consider implementing an incremental approach, using critical areas only at first. Some attain government subsidies or concessional funds aimed at funding activities that usher in digital change in industrial production. These strategies can make retrofitting less expensive and allow a business to provide for the need without compromising the benefits that the system will bring in time.

3. Cybersecurity Risks

Real-time data collection systems come with one of the most significant cybersecurity issues. The reason manufacturing processes and lines are under much threat from cyber strings is that as the business processes go more digital, so do their susceptibilities to cyber threats like breaches, ransomware, and break-ins. Real-time data systems feed operational data in real time, and realtime data leakage can compromise business-sensitive data or create a situation whereby hackers can blackmail manufacturers into halting production. The risk is high, especially when using cloud-based solutions that have a characteristic of storing data and access to data at a distance. It is, therefore, essential to use encryption and authentication in the reduction of cyber security threats. Encryption includes ensuring communication between various devices, other terminal points and cloud services is safe so that third parties cannot understand or change the data (Scarfone et al, 2007). Also, modern web interfaces and applications employ complex authentication mechanisms, such as MFA and RBAC, to control access to live monitoring tools. It also means only a selected team of employees with access to the correct credentials can breach the company's information; the danger of inside threats is lowered as an outcome. Continual security audit, besides employee training in all current measures aimed at preventing cyber threats, makes security more robust since they cultivate security awareness in the firm. Also, a number of producers have decided to use so-called hybrid cloud models, which store all the critical data in local servers while the rest of the information is in the cloud. This approach minimizes the risks of a breach of cloud safety while allowing the organization to tap into the cloud's new advantages. Thus, the introduction of these measures can help manufacturers collect data in real time without compromising their security and, therefore, achieve maximum results.

Figure 17: Multi-factor authentication framework for access control

VIII. CONCLUSION

The relatively recent inclusion of RTM and preventative maintenance in production represents a turning point in the productivity and durability of machines. All these advancements have some appreciable gains, primarily based on the enhancements of the frequency, predictability and control of outage times and, more importantly, the avoidance of planned and unplanned downtimes, which have cut deep into cost and output fixes for manufacturers. The achievement of zero on financier stops can be realized. The short working lives of machinery can be eradicated by using reliable prognostication to determine where machines are heading before they get to that point where they require intervention in the form of maintaining and mending, thus reducing the life-cycle cost. Furthermore, real-time data provide manufacturers with instant awareness of factors such as temperature, vibration, and energy consumption not only for effective machine health management but also for perfect understanding of manufacturing processes and availability of resources, among others.

The IoT, edge computing, and artificial intelligence are among the technologies that are transformational for manufacturing enterprises, particularly with the aim of holding a strategic position in the ever-dynamic market. IoT devices, for instance, are crucial because they own the perpetual data collection from the machinery, making these assets networked, communicative entities. Real-time asset tracking and operational optimization have been observed, opening the technology's possibilities in multiple industries (Saputelli et al, 2002). In the same way, edge computing reduces the delay by prescribing data analysis at the periphery or near the collection point, depending on the clip-on centralized systems and business, and the decision-making process on the shop floor depends on data collected. While AI algorithms analyze big data sets to develop approaches to make predictions, and hence, potential signs of underlying failures may be detected. Through the integration of these technologies, manufacturers are in a better position to undertake preventive actions and minimize the operational and financial losses that arise due to machinery breakdowns.

Future developments in using real-time data monitoring in manufacturing are expected to be further advanced due to unprecedented trends in data acquisition and maintenance approaches. One future prediction in this area is the growing adoption of intelligent manufacturing technology dubbed as the 'digital twins', which are exact replicas of tangible machines and structures. This enabled further simulations and analysis to offer information that can be used to optimize the performance of the machine and also foresee some complications. Another notable point is the development of machine-to-machine (M2M) communication capability, which devices in any manufacturing context may coordinate and control their operations. This would result in real-time production lines that are capable of responding to disturbances ranging from the upstream or downstream manufacturing processes, reducing efficiency gaps and wastages. Also, the push towards making manufacturing processes and systems more sustainable has increased the need for real-time energy monitoring in structures that involve predictive maintenance (Lewis & Steinberg, 2001). Subsequently, energy usage measurement will detect potential problems and help producers control their adverse environmental impact and expenses. Strategies of this type will probably become components of the future maintenance process to meet the demands of sustainable development and economic imperatives.

In conclusion, the applications of the real-time monitoring and prediction maintenance system are a revolutionary step in the future of the manufacturing industry. In the context of IoT, Edge computing and AI, manufacturers may not only avoid the consequences that result from unpredictable and prolonged downtimes but also strive for improvements, cost reduction and environmentally friendly practices. The complexity and volatility of the manufacturing firm environments are sure to increase with the advancement and adoption of more advanced technological tools; thus, firms that apply these tools will have better prospects for handling those environments.

REFERENCES

- 1. Bernstein, P. A. (1996). Middleware: a model for distributed system services. Communications of the ACM, 39(2), 86-98.
- 2. Bunse, K., Vodicka, M., Schönsleben, P., Brülhart, M., & Ernst, F. O. (2011). Integrating energy efficiency performance in production management–gap analysis between industrial needs and scientific literature. Journal of cleaner production, 19(6-7), 667-679.
- 3. Chen, C. (2020). Deep learning for automobile predictive maintenance under Industry 4.0 (Doctoral dissertation, Cardiff University).
- 4. Chen, G., Li, M., & Kotz, D. (2008). Data-centric middleware for context-aware pervasive computing. Pervasive and mobile computing, 4(2), 216-253.
- 5. Çınar, Z. M., Abdussalam Nuhu, A., Zeeshan, Q., Korhan, O., Asmael, M., & Safaei, B. (2020). Machine learning in predictive maintenance towards sustainable smart manufacturing in industry 4.0. Sustainability, 12(19), 8211.
- 6. Cullinen, M., & MCMaHon, H. (2013). Machine to Machine technologies: Unlocking the potential of a \$1 trillion indUstry. Carbon War Room Research Report-2013, Carbon War Room Research & Intelligence Group.
- 7. Cusumano, M. A. (2012). Staying power: Six enduring principles for managing strategy and innovation in an uncertain world (lessons from Microsoft, Apple, Intel, Google, Toyota and more). OUP Oxford.
- 8. Edwards, D., Harris, F., & Holt, G. (1998). Maintenance management of heavy-duty construction plant and equipment. Chartridge Books Oxford.
- 9. Gill, A. (2018). Developing A Real-Time Electronic Funds Transfer System for Credit Unions. International Journal of Advanced Research in Engineering and Technology (IJARET), 9(1), 162-184. Retrieved from https://iaeme.com/Home/issue/IJARET?Volume=9&Issue=1
- 10. Hashem, I. A. T., Yaqoob, I., Anuar, N. B., Mokhtar, S., Gani, A., & Khan, S. U. (2015). The rise of "big data" on cloud computing: Review and open research issues. Information systems, 47, 98-115.
- 11. Lewis, M. W., & Steinberg, L. (2001). Maintenance of mobile mine equipment in the information age. Journal of Quality in Maintenance Engineering, 7(4), 264-274.
- 12. Li, M., Lou, W., & Ren, K. (2010). Data security and privacy in wireless body area networks. IEEE Wireless communications, 17(1), 51-58.
- 13. Ma, Z., Cooper, P., Daly, D., & Ledo, L. (2012). Existing building retrofits: Methodology and state-of-the-art. Energy and buildings, 55, 889-902.
- 14. Nyati, S. (2018). Revolutionizing LTL Carrier Operations: A Comprehensive Analysis of an Algorithm-Driven Pickup and Delivery Dispatching Solution. International Journal of Science

- 15. Nyati, S. (2018). Transforming Telematics in Fleet Management: Innovations in Asset Tracking, Efficiency, and Communication. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR), 7(10), 1804-1810. Retrieved from https://www.ijsr.net/getabstract.php?paperid=SR24203184230
- 16. Pach, F. P., Feil, B., Nemeth, S., Arva, P., & Abonyi, J. (2005). Process-data-warehousing-based operator support system for complex production technologies. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics-Part A: Systems and Humans, 36(1), 136-153.
- 17. Pouliezos, A. D., & Stavrakakis, G. S. (2013). Real time fault monitoring of industrial processes (Vol. 12). Springer Science & Business Media.
- 18. Rathore, M. M., Paul, A., Hong, W. H., Seo, H., Awan, I., & Saeed, S. (2018). Exploiting IoT and big data analytics: Defining smart digital city using real-time urban data. Sustainable cities and society, 40, 600-610.
- 19. Reason, J. (1990). Human error. Cambridge university press.
- 20. Saputelli, L. A., Mochizuki, S., Hutchins, L., Cramer, R., Anderson, M. B., Mueller, J. B., ... & Airlie, C. (2003, September). Promoting real-time optimization of hydrocarbon producing systems. In SPE Offshore Europe Conference and Exhibition (pp. SPE-83978). SPE.
- 21. Scarfone, K., Souppaya, M., & Sexton, M. (2007). Guide to storage encryption technologies for end user devices. NIST Special Publication, 800(S 111).
- 22. Scheffer, C., & Girdhar, P. (2004). Practical machinery vibration analysis and predictive maintenance. Elsevier.
- 23. Shieh, J., Huber, J. E., Fleck, N. A., & Ashby, M. F. (2001). The selection of sensors. Progress in materials science, 46(3-4), 461-504.
- 24. Stolpe, M. (2016). The internet of things: Opportunities and challenges for distributed data analysis. Acm Sigkdd Explorations Newsletter, 18(1), 15-34.
- 25. Tao, F., Qi, Q., Wang, L., & Nee, A. Y. C. (2019). Digital twins and cyber–physical systems toward smart manufacturing and industry 4.0: Correlation and comparison. Engineering, 5(4), 653-661.
- 26. Thiede, S. (2012). Energy efficiency in manufacturing systems. Springer Science & Business Media.
- 27. Verma, P. K., Verma, R., Prakash, A., Agrawal, A., Naik, K., Tripathi, R., ... & Abogharaf, A. (2016). Machine-to-Machine (M2M) communications: A survey. Journal of Network and Computer Applications, 66, 83-105.
- 28. Wilson, J. S. (2004). Sensor technology handbook. Elsevier.
- 29. Zhou, L., Pan, S., Wang, J., & Vasilakos, A. V. (2017). Machine learning on big data: Opportunities and challenges. Neurocomputing, 237, 350-361.
- 30. Zimmermann, A. (2020). Method evaluation, parameterization, and result validation in unsupervised data mining: A critical survey. Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery, 10(2), e1330.