International Journal of Core Engineering & Management
Volume-6, Issue-06, 2019 ISSN No: 2348-9510

SUSTAINABLE SUPPLY CHAIN PRACTICES FOR RESOURCE EFFICIENCY AND WASTE MINIMIZATION IN MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES

Kosalee Thameera Galkaduwa

Abstract

This research aims at understanding sustainable supply chain practices (SSCPs) as strategies to support resource improvement and reduction of waste within manufacturing industries. It applies evidence from empirical research and industries to assess lean manufacturing, green supply chain management, and circular economy frameworks. Toyota implemented lean systems that provided high results in overall material optimization, BASF implemented the Verbund model and minimized energy consumption by 50 % and BMW implemented a closed-loop recycling system to recycle a total of 95% of its materials. However, challenges including; restricted funding, poor facilities, and bureaucratic issues have not been eradicated.
Specific suggestions proposed by the study are designing policy measures, utilizing superior technologies as well as introducing broadly applicable approaches to enhance sustainability. Therefore, its results close the gap between theoretical frameworks, and real-world applications, supporting the creation of resilient manufacturing systems. It contributes to the idea of sustainability in the supply chain by focusing on international issues related to wastage and the efficient use of the available resources.

Keywords: Sustainable supply chain practices, resource efficiency, waste minimization, circular economy, lean manufacturing, green supply chain management.

I. INTRODUCTION

Responsible sourcing and supply management in manufacturing industries is critical in achieving efficiency, eliminating waste and championing circular economy strategies. Such practices can be categorized as targeting environmental, economic, and social aspects of sustainability. Resource efficiency means utilizing less input but attaining similar outcomes as before, while the reduction or recycling of byproducts of the processes in production and or supply chain is referred to as waste minimization. These goals are realised within a circular economy through activities that lengthen the life of products, recycle materials and adjust the structure of processes to avoid waste[1].

The importance of sustainable practices emerged with increasing concern about polluted industrial environments and the depletion of resources. For instance, the manufacturing industry with more than 20% of global GHG emissions has been a key area of interest in the implementation of green practices [2]. Techniques such as life cycle assessment, green supply chain planning and management, and sustainable material management have been well implemented in minimizing the effects of environmental degradation while improving the performance of the economy [3]. Thus, this study examines such strategies to address research gaps pertinent to relating sustainability objectives to industrial implementations.

II. RESEARCH PROBLEM

Environmental sustainability in the supply chain is essential in influencing resource productivity and reduction of waste management in manufacturing organizations [4]. Despite the increasing awareness across industries, many manufacturing systems are still resource-hungry- hungry creating lots of waste from inefficiencies as well as linear production operating models. Industry is responsible for more than a fifth of global emissions of greenhouse gases and affects the environment, society, and economy. Nevertheless, sustainability has faced some challenges such as; supply chain issues, high implementation costs, and inadequate policies[5].

Challenges include a lack of circular economy integration in sectors and low rates of recycling, thus increasingthe use of virgin resources. For instance, several firms try to adopt green supply chain management best practices but face difficulties in reconfiguring flows, waste minimization, and renewable power systems. In addition, the majority of industries face difficulties in managing sustainability and cost as two primary concerns simultaneously, creating an unsustainable disjointedness [6].

This research prompted the growing concern for methods that enhance the effective utilisation of resources, minimisation of waste and the incorporation of circular economy principles. The purpose is to establish guidelines that promote the improvement of sustainability in supply chains and goals related to the environment and the economy.

III. RESEARCH OBJECTIVES

- To analyze current sustainable supply chain practices and their limitations.
- To identify strategies for improving resource efficiency and waste minimization.
- To propose a framework integrating circular economy principles into supply chain management.

IV. RESEARCH SCOPE

The scope of this study focuses on sustainable supply chain practices (SSCPs) in manufacturing industries, emphasizing strategies for resource efficiency and waste minimization. It incorporates frameworks like circular economy models, lean manufacturing, and green supply chain management to evaluate their impact on economic, environmental, and social sustainability.

V. LITERATURE REVIEW

Sustainable supply chain management is used to ensure resource utilization and reduction in the use of resources in manufacturing industries. These practices incorporate the major thrusts of the circular economy, lean manufacturing and green supply chain initiatives on economic, environmental, and social sustainability. Taghikhah et al., (2019) have revealed the fact that sustainable supply chains make better use of resources through integration, better use of materials and prolongation of product life through the concepts of recycling and remanufacturing.

Concepts including the triple bottom line (3BL) are theoretical models which regard the economic, environmental and social factors as interrelated in supply chain management [7]. Through this alignment, these goals improve the competitiveness of companies and also support global sustainability objectives. Waste programs have evolved into lean-green integration, where one

aims at eradicating waste and the other at enhancing operation productivity. For example, small and medium enterprises implementing lean-green practices have identified enhanced issues of supply chain sustainability, but challenges when it comes to scale and costs [8].
Circular economy approaches promote the management of material flows that are cyclical to minimize Landfill index and resource exploitation. Panigrahi et al., (2018) show that sectors such as automotive and electronics have had positive results from implementing such models but mass adoption remains a challenge due to regulatory and logistics issues [9].
The literature points to the lack of suitable comprehensive models exploring the interconnection of sustainable supply chain practices with respective industries [10]. This research shall seek to fill these gaps by providing specific implementation frameworks for improving resource utilization and reducing environmental losses in different manufacturing sectors.

VI. METHODOLOGY

This research uses the secondary data analysis research approach to examine SSCP for resource efficiency and waste reduction in manufacturing industries. The data was collected using literature reviews from academic journals, industrial literature reviews and case studies. These sources support the research by offering real-life examples as well as academic contributions on concepts like lean production, circular economy and sustainable supply chain.
The empirical approach utilised in the study was the systematic review to examine the patterns, assess the frameworks and synthesise the implementations of SSCPs across the manufacturing sectors. Specific case studies, including BASF's Verbund system and BMW's closed-loop recycling initiatives, were used to highlight both success and issues. Incorporation of evidence from the examples was done to demonstrate changes in resource utilization and minimization of waste.
Evaluation was made by differentiating the data into the following thematic areas such as material enunciation, energy conciseness and waste dissuasion. This thematic approach enabled to dissect the factors that facilitate effective SSCPs and note areas that may hinder their scalability and adoption in the emerging markets. The methodological approach guarantees the efficiency of recommendations in terms of their utilization by policymakers and other industry actors.

VII. ANALYSIS & FINDINGS

7.1 Resource Efficiency through Sustainable Supply Chain Practices

1. Material Optimization and Lean Practices

Manufacturers have adopted lean methodologies to optimize material use, reduce waste, and increase efficiency. Toyota's lean production system is a notable example, achieving up to 25-30% raw material savings by minimizing overproduction and streamlining workflows [11]. Similarly, Unilever incorporated predictive analytics into its supply chain to align production with demand, significantly reducing material waste and inventory costs.

2. Energy Efficiency in Manufacturing

Energy-intensive industries, such as chemicals and steel production, integrated advanced energy management systems to reduce consumption. BASF's Verbund model exemplifies this approach by recycling by-products and waste heat within interconnected facilities, resulting in a 50% reduction in energy consumption across operations [12]. This model also demonstrated a 35%

decrease in greenhouse gas emissions, aligning with global sustainability goals.

3. **Collaborative Supply Chain Networks**

Collaboration between stakeholders in supply chains has enhanced resource recovery and minimized inefficiencies. BMW implemented a closed-loop recycling system, where 95% of materials from end-of-life vehicles are reused or recycled [13]. Collaborative approaches have also facilitated the development of shared logistics networks, reducing transportation inefficiencies and emissions.

7.2 Waste Minimization through Circular Economy Models

1. **Recycling and Reuse Practices**

Adopting circular economy principles, many industries have implemented closed-loop recycling systems. HP led efforts in the electronics sector by recovering plastics and metals from discarded devices to manufacture new products, reducing e-waste by 40% and lowering production costs. Similarly, the construction industry used recycled aggregates in concrete production, achieving waste reductions of 30%.

2. **Industrial Symbiosis**

Industrial symbiosis, where one company's waste becomes another's resource, has proven effective in reducing landfill use and resource extraction. The Kalundborg Eco-Industrial Park in Denmark serves as a global benchmark, achieving a 250,000-ton annual reduction in CO_2 emissions and optimizing waste reuse through resource-sharing among local industries [14].

3. **Waste-to-Energy Initiatives**

Cement manufacturing has embraced waste-to-energy solutions to address landfill dependency. LafargeHolcim replaced conventional fuels with industrial by-products and biomass, leading to a 20% reduction in CO_2 emissions and a significant decrease in waste sent to [15]. These initiatives illustrate the potential for other industries to adopt similar practices for waste minimization.

7.3 Challenges and Limitations

1. **Implementation Barriers**

Adopting SSCPs requires significant upfront investment and technical expertise. SMEs face disproportionate challenges due to limited resources and financial constraints, often struggling to implement lean-green practices effectively [8].

2. **Infrastructure and Policy Gaps**

Emerging economies often lack robust recycling infrastructure, hindering efforts to adopt closed-loop systems. In addition, inconsistent regulatory frameworks create obstacles for companies seeking to align operations with sustainability goals [16].

3. **Technological Challenges**

Limited access to advanced technologies such as AI-driven supply chain management and energy-efficient manufacturing systems restricts the scalability of SSCPs. Industries require continued innovation and public-private collaboration to overcome these barriers.

7.4 Implications and Recommendations

1. **Scalability of Best Practices**

The findings suggest that practices such as lean production, waste-to-energy systems, and collaborative recycling networks should be scaled across industries to maximize impact. For example, adapting BASF's Verbund model to sectors like electronics could yield significant efficiency gains.

2. **Policy and Incentive Mechanisms**

Governments and policymakers should introduce incentives for adopting SSCPs, such as tax breaks for waste-to-energy projects or grants for technology upgrades. A harmonized regulatory framework would also facilitate cross-industry collaboration.

3. **Investment in Technology**

Encouraging investments in advanced technologies, such as predictive analytics and AI for supply chain optimization, can enhance decision-making and improve overall sustainability performance.

The adoption of sustainable supply chain practices has demonstrably improved resource efficiency and waste minimization across manufacturing industries. Key strategies such as lean production, industrial symbiosis, and circular economy principles have shown significant results in reducing material waste and emissions. However, barriers like financial constraints, inadequate infrastructure, and technological limitations must be addressed to ensure widespread adoption. Future research should focus on scalable models and collaborative policy frameworks to accelerate sustainability transitions in global supply chains.

VIII. CONCLUSION

The analysis of sustainable supply chain practices (SSCPs) that enhance the utilisation of resources and reduction of wastage in the manufacturing industries was realised in this study hence attaining the research goals. It supplemented concepts such as lean production, the circular economy, and green supply chain frameworks using qualitative data and cases. Toyota lean systems also reduced its material use by 25-30 % The concept of BASF's Verbund model reduced its energy consumption by half through the integration of processes. Likewise, BMW's closed-loop recycling system was an excellent demonstration of waste minimization, recycling 95% of the material with highly positive effects on sustainable supply chain management.

Furthermore, the study also considered systematic factors such as funding barriers, policy discrepancies, and technology barriers to a large-scale implementation of SSCPs. Through focusing on policy support, cross-industry cooperation, and promotion of sophisticated technologies, the study offered specific recommendations regarding the elimination of these barriers. This also emphasised the requirement of bringing the sustainability and development of models and structures for recycling and waste management to larger levels and for improvement in the development of emergent economies.

Thus, the research gaps the theoretical and practical domains and provides suggestions to policymakers and industry practitioners for promoting sustainability in GSCs. It furthers the understanding of how manufacturing industries can optimize for economic performance while also being sustainable.

REFERENCES

1. Genovese, A. A. Acquaye and A. Figueroa, "Sustainable supply chain management and the transition towards a circular economy: Evidence and some applications," Omega, vol. 66, 2017.
2. D. Seth, M. A. A. Rehman and R. L. Shrivastava, "Green manufacturing drivers and their relationships for small and medium(SME) and large industries," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 198, 2018.
3. G. E. M. K. M. K. S. B. N. Muhammad As Mohamed Abdul Ghani, "From green buildings to green supply chains: An integrated input-output life cycle assessment and optimization framework for carbon footprint reduction policy making," Management of Environmental Quality, vol. 28, 2017.
4. M. A. Camilleri, "Closing the loop for resource efficiency, sustainable consumption and production: a critical review of the circular economy," International Journal of Sustainable Development, 2019.
5. K. Govindan and M. Hasanagic, "A systematic review on drivers, barriers, and practices towards circular economy: a supply chain perspective," International Journal of Production Research, vol. 56, 2018.
6. M. A. Franco, "Circular economy at the micro level: A dynamic view of incumbents' struggles and challenges in the textile industry," Journal of Cleaner Production, vol. 168, 2017.
7. A. O. Arowoshegbe, E. Uniamikogbo and O. O. Gina, "SUSTAINABILITY AND TRIPLE BOTTOM LINE: AN OVERVIEW OF TWO INTERRELATED CONCEPTS," 2018.
8. C. Mafini and A. Muposhi, "The impact of green supply chain management in small to medium enterprises: Cross-sectional evidence," Journal of Transport and Supply Chain Management , vol. 11, 2017.
9. S. S. Panigrahi, B. Bahinipati and V. Jain, "Sustainable supply chain management: A review of literature and implications for future research," Management of Environmental Quality An International Journal, vol. 30, 2018.
10. F. Jia, L. Zuluaga, A. R. Bailey and X. Rueda, "Sustainable supply chain management in developing countries: An analysis of the literature," Journal of Cleaner Production , vol. 189, 2018.
11. M. H. A. Soliman, "A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF MANUFACTURING WASTES: TOYOTA PRODUCTION SYSTEM LEAN PRINCIPLES," 2017.
12. "BASF Report 2017," 2017. [Online]. Available: https://www.basf.com/dam/jcr:ad007a03-e35a-3f19-99f6-23eff9e47eb3/BASF_Report_2017.pdf.
13. P. May, "BMW accelerates into the circular economy," 2019. [Online]. Available: https://trellis.net/article/bmw-accelerates-circular-economy/.
14. V. Anbumozhi and F. Kimura, "Industry 4.0:Empowering ASEAN for the Circular Economy," 2018. [Online]. Available: https://www.eria.org/uploads/media/ERIA-Books-2018-Industry4.0-Circular_Economy.pdf.
15. IFC, "INCREASING THE USE OF ALTERNATIVE FUELS AT CEMENT PLANTS:INTERNATIONAL BEST PRACTICE," 2017. [Online]. Available: https://documents1.worldbank.org/curated/en/563771502949993280/pdf/118737-REVISED-Alternative-Fuels-08-04.pdf.
16. V. Rizos, A. Behrens and T. Kafyeke, "The Circular Economy: Barriers and Opportunities

for SMEs," 2015.